Shelley D. Krohn, Chapter 7 Trustee 510 South 8th Street
Las Vegas, NV 89101
702-421-2210 phone
702-366-1939 fax
shelley@trusteekrohn.com

UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT

DISTRICT OF NEVADA

In re

LAS VEGAS LAND PARTNERS, LLC,

Debtor.

Debtor.

Debtor.

Debtor.

Debtor.

Debtor.

APPLICATION TO EMPLOY JOHN W.
MUIJE & ASSOCIATES AS SPECIAL
COUNSEL ON A CONTINGENT FEE BASIS
UNDER 11 U.S.C. § 327(a)

Hearing Date: OST Requested
Hearing Time:

Shelley D. Krohn, Chapter 7 Trustee ("Trustee") files this Application To Employ John W. Muije & Associates As Special Counsel On a Contingent Fee Basis Under 11 U.S.C. § 327(a), requesting that this Court approve the engagement of the law firm of John W. Muije & Associates (the "Muije Firm") as special litigation counsel to the Trustee pursuant to section 327(a) and (c) and section 328(a) of the Bankruptcy Code and Bankruptcy Rule 2014(a). The Firm will be engaged to pursue claims for avoidance and recovery of fraudulent transfers on behalf of the Trustee in a currently pending state court case.

The Trustee proposes to engage the Firm on a 33 1/3% contingency fee basis based on the value of any assets recovered for the estate. The terms are set forth with more particularity in the Contingent Fee Agreement attached to this Application as **Exhibit "5."**

This Application is also based upon the Declaration of John W. Muije Supporting the Trustee's Motion to Employ John W. Muije & Associates as Special Counsel on a Contingent Fee Basis (the "Muije Declaration") and the Declaration of Shelley D. Krohn (the "Krohn Declaration") filed concurrently with this Application and the following Points and Authorities:

App to Employ Muije Page 1 of 10

I. JURISDICTION

The Court has jurisdiction over this matter pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 157 and 1334. This is a core proceeding pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §157(b). Venue is proper before this Court pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1408 and 1409.

II. BACKGROUND

Factual Background

- 1. Las Vegas Land Partners, LLC ("Debtor") filed a voluntary petition for relief under Chapter 7 of the Bankruptcy Code on August 19, 2019 (the "Petition Date"). Shelley D. Krohn is the duly appointed and acting Chapter 7 Trustee. See ECF 2.
- 2. Prior to the Petition Date, Russell Nype and Revenue Plus, LLC (collectively, "Nype") obtained a judgment dated April 10, 2015 against Debtor issued by the Eighth Judicial District Court in Case No.07-A-551073, a copy of which is attached as **Exhibit "1"**. After an appeal to the Nevada Supreme Court, on Amended and Final Judgment on Costs dated November 1, 2018 was issued, a copy of which is attached as **Exhibit "2"**. Currently, the amount due on the two judgments is approximately \$4,500,000.
- 3. Prior to the Petition Date, on July 26, 2016, Nype sued the Debtor and DAVID J. MITCHELL; BARNET LIBERMAN; LAS VEGAS LAND PARTNERS, LLC; MEYER PROPERTY, LTD.; ZOE PROPERTY, LLC; LEAH PROPERTY, LLC; WINK ONE, LLC; LIVE WORK, LLC; LIVE WORK MANAGER, LLC; AQUARIAS OWNER, LLC; LVLP HOLDINGS, LLC; MITCHELL HOLDINGS, LLC; LIEBERMAN HOLDINGS, LLC; 305 LAS VEGAS LLC; LIVE WORKS TIC SUCCESSOR, LLC; PC/LIVE WORK VEGAS, LLC; CASINO COLLIDGE, LLC (collectively, the "Co-Defendants") in the Eighth Judicial District Court as Case No. A-16-740689-B (the "State Court Litigation"). A copy of the Amended Complaint is attached as Exhibit "3".
- 4. In the State Court Litigation and in the prior litigation, the Muije Firm represented Nype.
- 5. In the State Court Litigation, Nype alleged (a) he was entitled to a constructive trust on property of the Co-Defendants; (b) he was entitled to avoid fraudulent transfers of real property

App to Employ Muije Page 2 of 10

¹ This court can take judicial notice of the State Court's docket.

by the Debtor and Co-Defendants; (c) the Debtor and Co-Defendants had conspired to defraud Nype; (d) he was entitled to a declaration that the transfers of real property by the Debtor and Co-Defendants were void; and (e) he was entitled to a declaration that the Co-Defendants were the alter egos of the Debtor and their assets could be used to pay the debt to Nype.

- 6. The filing of the Debtor's petition automatically stayed (a) the State Court Litigation against the Debtor (but not against the Co-Defendants) and (b) the prosecution of the fraudulent transfer action which is property of the Debtor's bankruptcy estate.
- 7. The State Court Judge Gonzales has set the trial for the State Court Litigation to begin on December 30, 2019, for a five (5) day trial. See State Court Litigation docket attached as **Exhibit "4".**¹
- 8. The Trustee desires to employ the Muije Firm as special litigation counsel to prosecute the fraudulent transfer claims against the Co-Defendants in the State Court Litigation.

 The Muije Firm will file a motion in the State Court Litigation (as special counsel for the Trustee) seeking authorization for the Trustee to intervene as plaintiff in the same litigation.
- 9. The Debtor's bankruptcy estate has no funds, See ECF 1, and the Trustee desires to employ the Muije Firm on a contingent fee basis pursuant the terms and conditions as set forth in the Contingent Fee Agreement attached to this renewed application as Exhibit "5."
- 10. The Trustee proposes that the Muije Firm will be entitled to a 33 1/3% contingency fee against the gross property and proceeds from any assets recovered by the Muije Firm as fraudulent transfers. The Trustee additionally proposes to reimburse the Muije Firm for 50% of any actual and reasonable costs incurred in the State Court Litigation (both before and after the intervention of the Trustee in that litigation) from gross property and proceeds from any assets recovered in the State Court Litigation. The Trustee is aware that:
 - a. the Muije Firm will turn over any fees and costs received from the Trustee to reimburse Nype for the fees and costs Nype has paid the Muije Firm, co-counsel, accountants and other experts;

App to Employ Muije Page 3 of 10

- b. the Muije Firm will continue to represent Nype as a co-plaintiff in the State Court Litigation.
- 11. Any payment to the Muije Firm from the proceeds of the State Court Litigation will be subject to the approval of the Bankruptcy Court pursuant to 11 U.S.C. §330 and FRBP 2016.
- 12. Nype has paid and will continue to pay the Muije Firm fees on an hourly basis as well as out of pocket expenses pending the outcome of the State Court Litigation.
- 13. The Muije Firm agrees to turn over to the Trustee fifty percent (50%) of all funds and assets collected or recovered from the Defendants attributable on any claims litigated in the State Court Litigation and fifty percent (50%) to Nype (except for the previously awarded discovery sanction awarded in the State Court Litigation which will be paid 100% to Nype).
- 14. The professional services rendered by the Muije Firm will be limited to representation of the Trustee in recovering the fraudulent transfers as to which the Debtor's bankruptcy estate claims an interest and are claims asserted in the pending State Court Litigation.
- 15. The Trustee believes (and the Muije Firm will strive to insure) that the Muije Firm's employment will not be duplicative or overlapping of the work performed by the Trustee or the Trustee's counsel if the Trustee decides to employ general counsel. The Muije Firm's duties for the Trustee will be limited to seeking avoidance and recovery of fraudulent transfers of assets as set forth in the State Court Litigation for the benefit of the bankruptcy estate. The Muije Firm will not act as general counsel to the Trustee.
- 16. The Trustee believes it is in the best interests of the estate and the creditors that she be allowed to employ the Muije Firm as special counsel on the proposed contingency fee basis.

III. DISINTERESTEDNESS AND DISCLOSURE OF CONNECTIONS

To the best of the Trustee's knowledge, information, and belief, and based on the Muije Declaration, neither the Muije Firm nor any partners or associates of the Muije Firm are connected to, represent, or hold an adverse interest to the Trustee, the Debtor, their creditors, or any other party in interest, or their respective attorneys and accountants, with respect to the matters on which the Muije Firm is to be retained or employed in this case, except that the Muije Firm, in the past, has represented and, in the future, will continue to represent creditors RUSSELL L.

App to Employ Muije Page 4 of 10

NYPE and REVENUE PLUS, LLC in the State Court Litigation and prior litigation against the Debtor. (See Muije Declaration).

The Muije Firm will continue to represent Nype with respect to all claims other than the recovery of fraudulent transfers, and even though these claims do not belong to the bankruptcy estate, half of any recovery from such claims will be paid to the bankruptcy estate. As stated in the Memorandum of Law, the Muije Firm's continued representation of Nype does not disqualify the Muije Firm from acting as special counsel for the Trustee in the State Court Litigation.

The Muije Firm will continue to be paid its fees and costs on an ongoing basis by Nype. In the event the Muije Firm is paid fees and costs by the bankruptcy estate, the Muije Firm has disclosed that said amounts may be credited to balances owed to the Muije Firm by Nype, but the bankruptcy estate's obligation to the Muije Firm is fixed at a flat 33 1/3% plus costs, so this creditor's private payment arrangements with the Muije Firm are not detrimental to the bankruptcy estate or other creditors.

To the best of the Trustee and Muije Firm's knowledge, information, and belief, and based on the Muije Declaration, neither the Muije Firm nor any partners or associates of the Muije Firm are connected to, represent, or hold an adverse interest to the United States Trustee, the Office of the United States Trustee and its employees, any judge of the United States Bankruptcy Court in the District of Nevada, or any other party with respect to the matter on which the Muije Firm is to be employed except as set forth herein. (See Muije Declaration.)

To the best of the Trustee and the Muije Firm's knowledge, the Muije Firm does not hold or represent any interest that would impair the Muije Firm's ability to objectively perform the services contemplated herein. (See Muije Declaration.)

The Trustee has determined that, to the best of her knowledge, the Muije Firm and all of its partners and associates are disinterested persons as that term is defined in 11 U.S.C. §101(14) and pursuant to Fed.R.Bankr. P. 2014(a).

Subject to the Court's approval of this Application, the Muije Firm is willing to serve as the Trustee' special litigation counsel to perform the legal services described above pursuant to the

App to Employ Muije Page 5 of 10

terms set forth herein and the Contingent Fee Agreement attached as Exhibit "5." (See Muije Declaration.)

The Muije Firm will strive to insure that the Muije Firm's employment will not be duplicative of the role of the Trustee or her general counsel (if any) and that the Muije Firm's retention as set forth herein will save the estate substantial time and monies, and is in the best interest of the Trustee, the estate, and creditors. (See Muije Declaration.)

IV. MEMORANDUM OF LAW

Retention A.

11 U.S.C. § 327(a) authorizes employment of professionals, including counsel, who do not represent adverse interests to the estate and provides:

> Except as otherwise provided in this section, the trustee, with the court's approval, may employ one or more attorneys, accountants, appraisers, auctioneers, or other professional persons, that do not hold or represent an interest adverse to the estate, and that are disinterested persons, to represent or assist the trustee in carrying out the trustee's duties under this title.

11 U.S.C. § 328(a) provides guidance regarding the compensation of professionals engaged by the Trustee:

> The trustee, or a committee appointed under section 1102 of this title, with the court's approval, may employ or authorize the employment of a professional person under section 327 or 1103 of this title, as the case may be, on any reasonable terms and conditions of employment, including on a retainer, on an hourly basis, on a fixed or percentage fee basis, or on a contingent fee basis. Notwithstanding such terms and conditions, the court may allow compensation different from the compensation provided under such terms and conditions after the conclusion of such employment, if such terms and conditions prove to have been improvident in light of developments not capable of being anticipated at the time of the fixing of such terms and conditions.

Section 328 permits a professional to have the terms and conditions of its employment preapproved by a bankruptcy court, such that the bankruptcy court may alter agreed upon compensation only "if such terms and conditions prove to have been improvident in light of

App to Employ Muije Page 6 of 10

6 7

1

2

3

4

5

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18 19

20

21

22

23

24

25 26

27

developments not capable of being anticipated at the time of fixing such terms and conditions." *In re Circle K, Corp.*, 279 F.3d 669, 671 (9th Cir. 2002).

The Trustee proposes engaging the Muije Firm as special counsel pursuant to the attached 33 1/3% contingency fee agreement (plus reimbursement of reasonable litigation expenses). The Muije Firm acknowledges that approval of any settlement of the bankruptcy estate's claims, and payment of any fees to the Muije Firm and/or reimbursement of costs from proceeds attributable to the bankruptcy estate's claims, will be subject to separate approval of this Court pursuant to 11 U.S.C. § 330 and FRBP 2016. (See Muije Declaration.)

B. The Muije Firm's Representation of Creditor Nype Does Not Preclude Employment

The Muije Firm's pre-petition and continued representation of the Creditors does not preclude employment of the Muije Firm by the bankruptcy estate because the Muije Firm has no adverse interest with regard to the litigation for which it is employed, and the Creditors' interests are aligned with the Trustee's interests. The Creditors are, by far, the largest creditor of this bankruptcy estate², and have been pursuing collection from this Debtor and related insiders in the State Court Litigation since 2016.

11 U.S.C. § 327(c) specifically states that a professional is not disqualified for employment "solely because of such person's employment by or representation of a creditor" unless there is (1) an objection by another creditor or the United States Trustee, and (2) if there is an actual conflict of interest. 11 U.S.C. § 327(c). Fondiller v. Robertson (In re Fondiller), 15 B.R. 890, 892 (Bankr. 9th Cir.1981), appeal dismissed, 707 F.2d 441 (9th Cir.1983). As stated by the Ninth Circuit:

Section 327(c) allows the appointment of counsel to represent the trustee, even where counsel represents a creditor, where the court finds no "actual conflict of interest." Reasoning by analogy to section 327(e), several courts have held that, where the trustee seeks to appoint counsel only as "special counsel" for a specific matter, there need only be no conflict between the trustee and counsel's creditor client with respect to the specific matter itself. Fondiller v. Robertson (In re Fondiller), 15 B.R. 890, 892 (Bankr. 9th Cir.1981), appeal dismissed, 707 F.2d 441 (9th Cir.1983); see also Altenberg v. Schiffer (In re Sally Shops, Inc.), 50 B.R. 264,

App to Employ Muije Page 7 of 10

² The Debtor's schedules list other creditors with claims of approximately \$100,000. See ECF 1. Nype's claim against the Debtor is approximately \$4,500,000.

266 (Bankr.E.D.Pa.1985) (following *Fondiller*). Here, with respect to the Kilimnik preference action, the interests of Cabot and the trustee coincide: if money is recovered for the estate, Cabot's pro rata recovery will ultimately be greater.

Stoumbos v. Kilimnik, 988 F.2d 949, 964 (9th Cir. 1993). The Stoumbas decision is the current state of the law. See the following cases:

A creditor's attorney may be employed by the trustee provided the attorney is "disinterested," "do[es] not hold or represent an interest adverse to the estate," and, if an objection is made, does not have an "actual conflict of interest." 11 U.S.C. § 327(a),(c). When applied to employment of a creditor's attorney by the trustee as special counsel for a specific matter, the conflicts and eligibility analysis under § 327 is limited to the specific matter for which the attorney is to be employed. See Stoumbos v. Kilimnik, 988 F.2d 949, 964 (9th Cir.1993); Coan, 176 F.3d at 622–29; Fondiller, 15 B.R. at 892.

In re Hummer Transp., Inc., No. 11-60663, 2013 WL 8013588, at *3 (Bankr. E.D. Cal. Sept. 12, 2013), aff'd sub nom. In re Hummer Transp., No. CV F 13-1640 LJO, 2014 WL 412534 (E.D. Cal.

Feb. 3, 2014) (employing debtor's counsel as special counsel).

I conclude that Moore's representation of Kollman and Frazer does not create a conflict of interest. The Bankruptcy Code "allows the appointment of counsel to represent the trustee, even where counsel represents a creditor, where the court finds no 'actual conflict of interest.' "Stoumbos v. Kilimnik, 988 F.2d 949, 964 (9th Cir. 1993) (quoting 11 U.S.C. § 327(c)). Frazer determined that retaining Moore to pursue Hateley's claims against National Union and Cell Tech could benefit creditors, including Kollman, who is currently the estate's largest creditor. See id. (no conflict because the interests of the creditor and the trustee coincided).

Kollman v. Nat'l Union Fire Ins. Co. of Pittsburgh, Pa., No. CV 04-3106-PA, 2008 WL 11389450, at *2 (D. Or. Oct. 22, 2008).

Fondiller has been interpreted as authorizing employment under subsection (e) of Section 327. See, e.g., Covenant, 243 B.R. at 455 n. 8. But the precise holding appears to be that special counsel can be employed under subsection (a) of Section 327 because any "omission" in Section 327(e) does not limit the general authority in Section 327(a). See Fondiller, 15 B.R. at 891–92 (interpreting § 327(a) by comparison with § 327(c) and (e)).

In re Sonya D. Int'l, Inc., 484 B.R. 773, 780 (Bankr. C.D. Cal. 2012).

The fact that Counsel may be a creditor in this case does not disqualify him. In Stoumbos v. Kilimnik, 988 F.2d 949, 964 (9th Cir.1993), the court found no conflict of interest existed when the trustee sought to employ an attorney under § 327(e) who at the same time represented a creditor in the bankruptcy case. The court noted that, for purposes of taking action against a third party, the interests of the

App to Employ Muije Page 8 of 10

greater distribution in the bankruptcy case. *Stoumbos*, 988 F.2d at 964. That reasoning also applies here. Counsel is a creditor, but his interests as a creditor, as well as in accepting employment on a contingent fee basis to sue SIF, are the same: maximizing any potential recovery.

In re Elias, No. 02-41640, 2005 WL 4705220, at *5 (Bankr. D. Idaho June 10, 2005).

bankruptcy estate and the creditor coincided, for if special counsel recovered any

money for the benefit of the estate, his creditor client would ultimately receive a

The Muije Firm's representation of Nype will not cause the Muije Firm to act differently as special counsel than they would if they did not also represent Nype. The Trustee and Nype have agreed that that any recovery from Mitchell will be split equally between the Bankruptcy Estate and Nype.³ The Muije Firm will be retained by the bankruptcy estate to pursue avoidance and recovery of various fraudulent transfers for the benefit of the estate. The interests of the Trustee and Nype are 100% aligned for the matters the Firm will be retained by the Trustee to pursue because Nype's claim of \$4,500,000 is more than 97% of the identified creditor claims in this case. If the Muije Firm, who notably has significant knowledge regarding the fraudulent transfer claims, recovers assets as special counsel and enlarges the estate, all the Creditors will receive a greater distribution in the case. The Muije Firm does not represent an interest adverse to the estate on the matter on which it will be retained because both Nype and the bankruptcy estate share the same goals with respect to the matters for which the Muije Firm will be retained. See Stoumbos v Kilimnik, 988 F.2d 949, 964 (9th Cir. 1993) (finding no conflict existed when trustee sought to employ an attorney who also represented a creditor because the interests of the bankruptcy estate and the creditor client were aligned).

The Muije Firm further notes that the Bankruptcy Code provides no prohibition to a creditor paying the fees of a Trustee's special counsel. On the contrary, 11 U.S.C. § 503(b)(3)(B) provides that "a creditor that recovers, after the court's approval, for the benefit of the estate any property transferred or concealed by the debtor" to have an allowed administrative claim against the estate. "An application for approval of employment under section 503(b)(3)(B) is separate from a trustee's application to employ counsel under section 327; however, section 503(b)(3)(B)

App to Employ Muije Page 9 of 10

³ This agreement has to be reduced to writing and approved by this Court.

demonstrates that an attorney representing the trustee is not necessarily subject to disqualification if the attorney's fees are paid by the creditor." *In re Maerlender*, 2006 WL 996556 at *6 (Bankr. M.D.N.C. 2006) (citing *In re Maximus Computers, Inc.*, 278 B.R. 189, 197 (9th Cir. BAP 2002)).

V. CONCLUSION

The Trustee requests that the Court enter an order authorizing the Trustee to employ the law firm of John W. Muije & Associates as her special litigation counsel to pursue recovery of fraudulent transfers on behalf of the Trustee pursuant to the terms set forth in the Contingent Fee Agreement attached to this Application as **Exhibit "5"** with payment of any fees and/or costs subject to Bankruptcy Court approval pursuant to 11 U.S.C. § 330 and FRBP 2016.

DATED: October 21, 2019.

App to Employ Muije

Shelley D. Krohn, Chapter 7 Trustee 510 South 8th Street
Las Vegas, NV 89101

Page 10 of 10

702-421-2210 phone 702-366-1939 fax

shelley@trusteekrohn.com

Electronically Filed 04/10/2015 10:41:58 AM JUDG 1 Joshua H. Reisman, Esq. **CLERK OF THE COURT** Nevada Bar No. 7152 Robert R. Warns III, Esq. 3 Nevada Bar No. 12123 REISMAN-SOROKAC 8965 South Eastern Avenue, Suite 382 Las Vegas, Nevada 89123 Telephone: (702) 727-6258 Facsimile: (702) 446-6756 6 Email: jreisman@rsnvlaw.com Email: rwarns@rsnvlaw.com 7. Attorneys for Defendants/Counterclaimants Russell L. Nype and Revenue Plus, LLC 9 DISTRICT COURT 1.0 CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA 11 3965 South Eastern Avenue, Suite 382 12 LAS VEGAS LAND PARTNERS, LLC: CASE NO. 07A551073 LIVE WORK, LLC and ZOE PROPERTIES, DEPT, NO. XXVIII 977 701) W.18529-021-1800 REISMAN SOROKAC LAS VEGAS, NEVAIX 89123 LLC. Plaintiffs, JUDGMENT RUSSELL L. NYPE; REVENUE PLUS, LLC; JOHN DOES I through X; JANE DOES I through X; DOE CORPORATIONS I through 17 X; and DOE PARTNERSHIPS I through X, 1.8 Defendants. 19 RUSSELL L. NYPE; REVENUE PLUS, LLC. 20 Counterclaimants, - 21 22 YS. 23 LAS VEGAS LAND PARTNERS. LLC: 24 ROE DOES through Ι and CORPORATIONS I through X, 25 Counterdefendants. 26 27 Lijury Chiposed After Yrial Start Chaposad After Trial Start Cirer 28 Mon-Jury Judgment Reaches! Verdict Resched Transierred before Trial Cother . 1

3

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

18

19

21

6756

JUDGMENT

This matter came to trial before this Court, the Honorable Ronald Israel, District Judge, presiding. The issues have been tried and the Court entered its Findings of Fact, Conclusions of Law and Decision on March 26, 2015.

NOW, THEREFORE, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED as follows:

A judgment is hereby entered in favor of Defendants/Counterclaimants RUSSELL L. NYPE and REVENUE PLUS, LLC (collectively, "Nype"), and against Counterdefendant LAS VEGAS LAND PARTNERS, LLC., in the total amount of TWO MILLION SIX HUNDRED EIGHT THOUSAND SEVEN HUNDRED NINETY-SEVEN DOLLARS and FIFTY CENTS (\$2,608,797.50), plus Nype's costs of action pursuant to Nype's verified Memorandum of Costs (amount to be determined, if filed). Pursuant to NRS 17.130, interest accrues on the judgment as follows:

- Interest accrues on the damages of \$2,608,797.50 at the rate provided by a. NRS 17.130(2), from the date of service of Nype's counterclaim, i.e., December 5, 2007, until the judgment is satisfied; and
- b. Interest accrues on the total amount of costs awarded (if any) at the rate provided by NRS 17.130(2), from the date this judgment is entered until the judgment is satisfied.

20 1//

111

22 IH

23 III

HI24

III

26 111

111

That Plaintiffs LAS VEGAS LAND PARTNERS, LLC, LIVE WORK, LLC, and ZOE 1 PROPERTIES, LLC take nothing and that all of their claims against Nype are dismissed, with 3 prejudice. 4 5 6 7 DISTRICT COURT JUDGE 8 Submitted by: 9 REISMAN SQROKAC 1.0 11 Joshua H. Reisman, Esq. 8985 SOUTH EASTERN AVENUE. SUITE 382 Nevada Bar No. 7152 FIRMIT (702) 727 6258 FAX (702) 446-9796 12 Robert R. Warns III, Esq. REISMAN SOROKAC LAS VEGAS, NEVADA 89123 13 Nevada Bar No. 12123 8965 South Eastern Avenue, Suite 382 Las Vegas, Nevada 89123 Attorneys for Defendants/Countercluimants Russell L. Nype and Revenue Plus, LLC 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25. 26 27 28

EXHIBIT 2

ORIGINAL

Electronically Filed 11/1/2018 2:55 PM Steven D. Grierson CLERK OF THE COURT

I	JUDG JOHN	
l	JOHN '	١

5

6

7

8

9

10

11 12

14

15

16

17

18

19

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

W. MULIE & ASSOCIATES

JOHN W. MULJE, ESQ.

Nevada Bar No. 2419

1840 E. Sahara Ave #106

Las Vegas, Nevada 89104
Telephone: (702) 386-7002
Facsimile: (702) 386-9135
Email: imulie@mnijelawoffice.com

Attorneys for Defendants/Counterclaimants

Russell L. Nype and Revenue Plus, LLC

DISTRICT COURT

CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA

LAS VEGAS LAND PARTNERS, LLC; LIVE WORK, LLC and ZOE PROPERTIES, LLC,

CASE NO. 07A551073 DEPT. NO. XI

Plaintiffs.

13

RUSSELL L. NYPE; REVENUE PLUS, LLC; JOHN DOES I through X; JANE DOES I through X; DOE CORPORATIONS I through X; and DOE PARTNERSHIPS I through X,

AMENDED AND FINAL JUDGMENT ON COSTS

Defendants.

RUSSELL L. NYPE; REVENUE PLUS, LLC,

Counterclaimants.

20

LAS VEGAS LAND PARTNERS. ROE DOES through X: and CORPORATIONS I through X.

Counterdefendants.

This matter came to trial before this Court, the Honorable Ronald Israel, District Judge, presiding. The issues have been tried and the Court entered its Findings of Fact, Conclusions of Law and Decision on March 26, 2015. As a result, on April 10, 2015, the Court entered a judgment (the "Original-Damages Judgment"). Thereafter, in May 2016, the Court entered an

10-31-18P04:25 RCVD

Order Granting in Part and Denying in Part Plaintiff's Motion to Retax (the "Costs Order"), which, among other things, awarded certain costs of action. On May 25, 2016, this Court entered a Supplemental Judgment on Costs (the "Original-Costs Judgment") in favor of Nype (defined below) in the principal amount of \$191,938.13, plus prejudgment interest on the costs incurred as of May 11, 2016, in the amount of \$37,524.49, plus post-judgment interest accruing on the costs award of \$191,938.13.

Stemming from an appeal, on November 14, 2017, the Nevada Supreme Court entered an Order Affirming in Docket No. 68819, and Reversing in Part and Remanding in Docket No. 70520 (the "Appellate Decision"), in which the Nevada Supreme Court reversed a portion of the Original-Costs Judgment as follows: (1) the \$50,000 in costs awarded for Nype's non-testifying expert, Mark Rich, was reduced to the amount of \$1,500.00; and (2) the \$4,272.50 in costs awarded for Nype's non-testifying expert, John Knott, was reduced to the amount of \$1,500.00.

NOW, THEREFORE, the Original-Costs Judgment is amended such that, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED as follows:

A judgment is hereby entered in favor of Defendants/Counterclaimants RUSSELL L. NYPE and REVENUE PLUS, LLC (collectively, "Nype"), and against Counterdefendant LAS VEGAS LAND PARTNERS, LLC., for costs of action (collectively, the "Costs" and each cost comprising the Costs, a "Cost") in the total amount of \$140,665.63, upon which interest accrues as follows:

a. Pursuant to Albios v. Horizon Communities, Inc., 122 Nev. 409, 132 P.3d 1022 (2006), interest accrues on the Costs at the floating rate provided for by NRS 17.130(2), from the dates each Cost was incurred (as set forth in the Costs Order) until paid in full. To the extent no date of incurrence for any Cost was specified in the Costs Order, interest accrues on such Cost from entry of the Original-Damages Judgment, i.e., April 10, 2015, until paid in full (as set forth in the Original-Damages Judgment).

This judgment does not replace the Original-Damages Judgment, but supplements, and is in addition to, the Original-Damages Judgment.

Prejudgment interest on the awarded Costs, i.e., interest on the awarded costs that account from the date each cost was incurred until May 11, 2016, totals \$23,927.48; and

Post-judgement interest on the costs awards accuse in accordance with the **b**. above and the Court's Order entered on Rebruary 29, 2016, i.e., post-judgment interest continues to accrue, at the liquing rate provided for by NRS 17.130(2), on the Costs award of \$140,665.64 but does not ascene on the prejudgment interest awarded thereon in the sum of \$23,927.48.

Dated this 1 day of NOV PLANDER 2018.

Submitted by:

6

10 u

IB

21

24 25 26 JOHN W. MULIE & ASSOCIATES

IOHN W. MUDZ, 1850. Nevada Bei No. 2419 18011. Sahara Aya#106

Lis Vegus, Nevada 89104

American for Defundants Country claimonts Russell L. Nype and Revenue Plus, LLO

Approved as to form by: 19

LAW OFFICE OF HAYES & WELSH

EXHIBIT 3

MUIJE & ASSOCIATES

89104 (702) 386-9135

Phone:

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

LLC; FC/LIVE WORK VEGAS, LLC, and CASINO COLLIDGE, LLC alleges and shows as follows:

GENERAL FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS

- 1. Plaintiffs, RUSSELL L. NYPE and REVENUE PLUS, LLC (hereinafter "NYPE"), a New York Limited Liability Company.
- 2. Defendant, DAVID J. MITCHELL (hereinafter "Mitchell), is an adult resident of New York.
- 3. Defendant, BARNETT LIBERMAN (hereinafter "Liberman), is an adult resident of New York.
- 4. LAS Vegas Land Partners (hereinafter "LVLP") is a Delaware limited liability company registered to do business in Nevada, but currently in default status.
- 5. Aquarius Owner, LLC is or was a Delaware limited liability company registered to do business in the State of Nevada in November, 2004, and maintained its registration through and including approximately November, 2009.
- 6. On information and belief, Aquarius Owner LLC was owned and directed by Mitchell, Liberman, and/or LVLP.
- 7. In that context, various real property and ownership equity transfers took place between LVLP and/or Aquarius Owner, LLC, during the operative time, and on information and belief, financial distributions and transactions occurred between Aquarius Owner LLC and its principals on a recurring basis, most of which were never disclosed in publicly available records or documents.
- 8. In that context, various real property transfers and ownership equity took place between LVLP and/or Aquarius Owner, LLC during the operative time, and on information and belief, financial distributions and transactions occurred between Aquarius, LLC and its principals on a recurring basis, most of which were never

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

disclosed in publicly available records or documents, is a Delaware limited liability that first registered to do business in Nevada in approximately February, 2011, and continues to operate and do business, in good standing, through and including this date. FC/LW Vegas is or was a Delaware limited liability company registered to do business in the State of Nevada in February 2011 which has maintained registration through the present.

- 9. FC/LW VEGAS, LLC, on information and belief, is an entity jointly owned and operated by Liberman, Mitchell, LVLP, and non-party Forest City Enterprises, for purposes of developing and managing various real property interest in Southern Nevada.
- 10 In that context, various real property and ownership equity transfers took place between LVLP and/or FC/LW, LLC, during the operative time, and on information and belief, financial distributions and transactions occurred between Aquarius Owner LLC and its principals on a recurring basis, most of which were never disclosed in publicly available records or documents.
- 11. In that context, various real property and ownership equity transfers took place between LVLP and/or FC/LW, LLC during the operative time, and on information and belief, financial distributions and transactions occurred between
- 12. Aquarius, LLC and its principals on a recurring basis, most of which were never disclosed in publicly available records or documents, is a Delaware limited liability that first registered to do business in Nevada in approximately February, 2011, and continues to operate and do business, in good standing, through and including this date.
- In that context, various real property and ownership equity transfers took place 13. between LVLP and/or Leah Property, LLC during the operative time, and on information and belief, financial distributions and transactions occurred between
- 14. Aquarius, LLC and its principals on a recurring basis, most of which were never disclosed in publicly available records or documents, is a Delaware limited liability

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

that first registered to do business in Nevada in approximately February, 2011, and continues to operate and do business, in good standing, through and including this date.

- 15. Leah Property, LLC is a Delaware limited liability that first registered to do business in Southern Nevada in approximately February, 2005, and continued to be active and operate in the Southern Nevada area through and including February, 2015.
- 16. On information and belief, Leah Property LLC is owned, managed, and operated by Liberman, at all relevant times.
- 17. In that context, various real property and ownership equity transfers took place between LVLP and/or Leah Property, LLC, during the operative time, and on information and belief, financial distributions and transactions occurred between Leah Property, LLC and its principals on a recurring basis, most of which were never disclosed in publicly available records or documents.
- 18. In that context, various real property and ownership equity transfers took place between LVLP and/or Live Work, LLC, during the operative time, and on information and belief, financial distributions and transactions occurred between Leah Property, LLC and its principals on a recurring basis, most of which were never disclosed in publicly available records or documents.
- 19. Live Work LLC is a Delaware limited liability company who first became active in Southern Nevada in or about April, 2015, and in fact was a plaintiff in the original underlying lawsuit with LVLP versus the plaintiffs herein. Live Work, LLC, on information and belief, continued to be active and operating in Southern Nevada through and including approximately April, 2012.
- 20. On information and belief, Live Work, LLC was owned, operated, and managed by Liberman, Mitchell, LVLP, Live Work Manager, LLC, and/or Mitchell Holdings, and was an active participant in various real property transactions involving non-party Forest City Enterprises.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

21.	In that context, various real property and ownership equity transfers took place
	between LVLP and/or Live Work, LLC, during the operative time, and on information
	and belief, financial distributions and transactions occurred between Live Work, LLC
	and its principals on a recurring basis, most of which were never disclosed in publicly
	available records or documents.

- 22. In that context, various real property and ownership equity transfers took place between LVLP and/or Live Work, LLC, during the operative time, and on information and belief, financial distributions and transactions occurred between Live Work Manager, LLC and its principals on a recurring basis, most of which were never disclosed in publicly available records or documents.
- 23. Livework Manager, LLC was a Delaware Limited Liability that first registered to do business in the State of Nevada in approximately April, 2005, and continued active and in business in Southern Nevada through approximately February, 2012.
- 24. Live Work Manager, LLC was owned, operated and managed by, on information and belief, by Liberman, Mitchell, and/or LVLP.
- 25. In that context, various real property and ownership equity transfers took place between LVLP and/or Live Work Manger, LLC, during the operative time, and on information and belief, financial distributions and transactions occurred between Livework Manager, LLC and its principals on a recurring basis, most of which were In that context, various real property transfers and ownership equity took place between LVLP and/or Live Work, LLC during the operative time, and on information and belief, financial distributions and transactions occurred between Live Work, LLC and its principals on a recurring basis, most of which were never disclosed in publicly available records or documents, is a Delaware limited liability that first registered to do business in Nevada in approximately February, 2011, and continues to operate and do business, in good standing, through and including this date. FC/LW Vegas is or was a Delaware limited liability company registered to do business in the State of Nevada in February 2011 which has maintained registration through the present.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

never disclosed in publicly available records or documents.

- 26. Zoe Property, LLC is a Delaware Limited Liability Company that first registered and became active in Southern Nevada in or about November 2004, and in fact was one of the original plaintiffs along with Live Work, LLC and LVLP versus the plaintiffs herein. On information and belief, Zoe Property, LLC operated and continued to be active in Southern Nevada through approximately November, 2007.
- 27. Zoe Property, LLC was owned, operated and managed by, on information and belief, by Liberman, Mitchell, and/or LVLP.
- 28. In that context, various real property and ownership equity transfers took place between LVLP and/or Zoe Property, LLC, during the operative time, and on information and belief, financial distributions and transactions occurred between Zoe Property, LLC and its principals on a recurring basis, most of which were never disclosed in publicly available records or documents.
- 29. In that context, various real property and ownership equity transfers took place between LVLP and/or Zoe Property, LLC, during the operative time, and on information and belief, financial distributions and transactions occurred between Zoe Property, LLC and its principals on a recurring basis, most of which were never disclosed in publicly available records or documents.
- 30. Wink One, LLC is a Delaware limited liability company that registered to do business in the State of Nevada in approximately April, 2008, and remained active, according to Secretary of State records, through and including approximately April, 2009. Wink One, LLC, on information and belief, was owned, operated and managed by Liberman, Mitchell, and/or LVLP.
- 31. Wink One, LLC was owned, operated and managed by, on information and belief, by Liberman, Mitchell, and/or LVLP.
- 32. In that context, various real property and ownership equity transfers took place between LVLP and/or Wink One, LLC, during the operative time, and on information and belief, financial distributions and transactions occurred between Wink One, LLC

2

3

4

5

б

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

and its principals on a recurring basis, most of which were never disclosed in public	ly
available records or documents	

- 33. In that context, various real property and ownership equity transfers took place between LVLP and/or Wink One, LLC, during the operative time, and on information and belief, financial distributions and transactions occurred between Wink One, LLC and its principals on a recurring basis, most of which were never disclosed in publicly available records or documents.
- 34. Casino Coolidge, LLC is a Delaware limited liability company that first registered to do business in Southern Nevada in or about October, 2014.
- 35. On information and belief, Casino Coolidge, LLC is owned, operated and managed by Liberman, Mitchell, LVLP, and/or LVLP...
- 36. In that context, various real property and ownership equity transfers took place between LVLP and/or Casino Coolidge, LLC, during the operative time, and on information and belief, financial distributions and transactions occurred between Casino Coolidge, LLC and its principals on a recurring basis, most of which were never disclosed in publicly available records or documents and continues to operate and be active in Southern Nevada through the present.
- 37. In that context, various real property and ownership equity transfers took place between LVLP and/or Casino Coolidge, LLC, during the operative time, and on information and belief, financial distributions and transactions occurred between Casino Coolidge, LLC and its principals on a recurring basis, most of which were never disclosed in publicly available records or documents.
- 38. 305 Las Vegas. LLC is a Delaware limited liability company that first registered and qualified to do business in Southern Nevada in approximately April, 2007, and remains active and doing business in Southern Nevada through the present.
- 39. On information and belief, 305 Las Vegas, LLC was originally owned, operated and managed by Liberman, Mitchell, and/or LVLP.
- 40. In that context, various real property and ownership equity transfers took place

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

between LVLP and/or 305 Las Vegas, LLC, during the operative time, and on information and belief, financial distributions and transactions occurred between 305 Las Vegas, LLC and its principals on a recurring basis, most of which were never disclosed in publicly available records or documents and continues to operate and be active in Southern Nevada through the present.

- 41. In that context, various real property and ownership equity transfers took place between LVLP and/or 305 Las Vegas, LLC, during the operative time, and on information and belief, financial distributions and transactions occurred between 305 Las Vegas, LLC and its principals on a recurring basis, most of which were never disclosed in publicly available records or documents.
- 42. On information and belief, unbeknownst to Plaintiffs, in approximately 2012 305 Las Vegas, LLC engaged in an internal transaction resulting in the acquisition of the beneficial interest of Mitchell by a Mr. Win Churchill, and a monetary distribution benefitting Mitchell to the tune of \$7.5 million, all of which Plaintiff has only learned at very recent times.
- 43. On information and belief, MEYER PROPERTY, LTD., is fictitious entity that was involved for a relatively short period of time with LEAH PROPERTY, LLC, and in the context thereof participated in real estate transactions resulting in net financial gain to Leah and/or Liberman, Mitchell, and/or LVLP, the specifics of which financial gains were never disclosed nor reasonably discoverable by Plaintiffs herein.
- 44. In that context, various real property transfers took place between LVLP and/or Meyer Property, LLC, during the operative time, and on information and belief, financial distributions and transactions occurred between Meyer Property, LLC and its principals on a recurring basis, most of which were never disclosed in publicly available records or documents and continues to operate and be active in Southern Nevada through the present.
- 45. In that context, various real property transfers and ownership equity took place between LVLP and/or Meyer Property, LLC during the operative time, and on

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

information and belief, financial distributions and transactions occurred between Meyer Property, LLC and its principals on a recurring basis, most of which were never disclosed in publicly available records or documents, is a Delaware limited liability that first registered to do business in Nevada in approximately February, 2011, and continues to operate and do business, in good standing, through and including this date. FC/LW Vegas is or was a Delaware limited liability company registered to do business in the State of Nevada in February 2011 which has maintained registration through the present.

- 46. On information and belief, Mitchell Holdings, LLC is a Delaware limited liability company that never qualified to do business within the State of Nevada, but was used by Defendant Mitchell for purposes of owning Mitchell's equity or beneficial interest in various other defendants, and fuddling money back and forth between such entities, in a matter that would not be detectable or readily discoverable by Plaintiffs or other creditors.
- 47. In that context, various real property and ownership equity transfers took place between LVLP and/or Mitchell Holdings, LLC during the operative time, and on information and belief, financial distributions and transactions occurred between Mitchell Holdings, LLC and its principals on a recurring basis, most of which were never disclosed in publicly available records or documents, is a Delaware limited liability that first registered to do business in Nevada in approximately February, 2011, and continues to operate and do business, in good standing, through and including this date.
- 48. In that context, various real property transfers and ownership equity took place between LVLP and/or Mitchell Holdings, LLC during the operative time, and on information and belief, financial distributions and transactions occurred between Mitchell Holdings, LLC and its principals on a recurring basis, most of which were never disclosed in publicly available records or documents, is a Delaware limited liability that first registered to do business in Nevada in approximately February,

2011, and continues to operate and do business, in good standing, through and including this date. FC/LW Vegas is or was a Delaware limited liability company registered to do business in the State of Nevada in February 2011 which has maintained registration through the present.

- 49. On information and belief, Liberman Holdings, LLC is a Delaware limited liability company that never qualified to do business within the State of Nevada, but was used by Defendant Liberman Holdings, LLC for purposes of owning Liberman's equity or beneficial interest in various other defendants, and fuddling money back and forth between such entities, in a matter that would not be detectable or readily discoverable by Plaintiffs or other creditors.
- 50. On information and belief, Liberman Holdings, LLC was owned and directed by Mitchell, Liberman, and/or LVLP.
- 51. In that context, various real property and ownership equity transfers took place between LVLP and/or Liberman Holdings, LLC during the operative time, and on information and belief, financial distributions and transactions occurred between Liberman and its principals on a recurring basis, most of which were never disclosed in publicly available records or documents, is a Delaware limited liability that first registered to do business in Nevada in approximately February, 2011, and continues to operate and do business, in good standing, through and including this date,
- 52. Live Works TIC Successor, LLC, on information and belief, is a fictitious entity in which Liberman, Mitchell, and/or Las Vegas Land Holdings had substantial equity or beneficial interest, and was the ultimate recipient of financial proceeds, monies, emoluments and benefits deriving from Live Work LLC, and a tendency and common agreement entered into between Live Work, LLC and non-party Forest City Enterprises, through contractual and financial arrangements, referred to as the tenancy in common agreement, and numerous subsequent amendments thereto.
- 53. In that context, various real property and ownership equity transfers took place

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

between LVLP and/or Live Works TIC Successor, LLC, during the operative time, and on information and belief, financial distributions and transactions occurred between Live Works TIC Successor, LLC and its principals on a recurring basis, most of which were never disclosed in publicly available records or documents and continues to operate and be active in Southern Nevada through the present.

- 54. In that context, various real property and ownership equity transfers took place between LVLP and/or Live Works TIC Successor, LLC during the operative time, and on information and belief, financial distributions and transactions occurred between Live Works TIC Successor, LLC and its principals on a recurring basis, most of which were never disclosed in publicly available records or documents, is a Delaware limited liability that first registered to do business in Nevada in approximately February, 2011, and continues to operate and do business, in good standing, through and including this date.
- 55. Entity Defendants, MEYER PROPERTY, LTD.; ZOE PROPERTY, LLC; LEAH PROPERTY, LLC; WINK ONE, LLC; LIVE WORK, LLC; LIVE WORK MANAGER, LLC; AQUARIUS OWNER, LLC; LVLP HOLDINGS, LLC; MITCHELL HOLDINGS, LLC; LIEBERMAN HOLDINGS, LLC; 305 LAS VEGAS, LLC; LIVE WORKS TIC SUCCESSOR, LLC; FC/LIVE WORK VEGAS, LLC, are believed to be Delaware limited liability companies and/or corporations which have conducted business in the State of Nevada, and are alleged on information and belief to be owned and/or controlled by Defendants, LAS VEGAS LAND PARTNERS, LLC, DAVID MITCHELL and BARNET LIBERMAN.
- LVLP, LLC, Mitchell, and Liberman, created the various Entity Defendants, LAS 56. VEGAS LAND PARTNERS, LLC; MEYER PROPERTY, LTD.; ZOE PROPERTY, LLC; LEAH PROPERTY, LLC; WINK ONE, LLC; LIVE WORK, LLC; LIVE WORK MANAGER, LLC; AQUARIUS OWNER, LLC; LVLP HOLDINGS, LLC; MITCHELL HOLDINGS, LLC; LIEBERMAN HOLDINGS, LLC; 305 LAS VEGAS, LLC: LIVE WORKS TIC SUCCESSOR, LLC; FC/LIVE WORK VEGAS, LLC, on

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

information and belief, and used multiple sophisticated counsel for purposes of secreting, hiding, and conveying away valuable assets that were available to satisfy creditors such as Plaintiffs as alleged more specifically hereinafter (hereinafter referred to as the "Asset Protection Scheme").

- 57. That Plaintiffs do not at present know the true names and identities of those Entity Defendants, both corporate and individual, herein joined by fictitious names, but is informed and believes and therefore alleges that said Entity Defendants, are agents, employees, servants and representatives of the named Entity Defendants, or persons and entities acting in concert with the named Entity Defendants with respect to the premises herein plead, who are liable to the Plaintiffs by reason thereof, and the Plaintiffs pray leave to amend this Complaint to insert their true names and identities with appropriate allegations when the same becomes known.
- 58. Upon information and belief, part of the Asset Protection Scheme contemplated that the majority of the purported equity interests in the asset protection entities referred to two paragraphs above be held in the name of LAS VEGAS LAND PARTNERS, LLC, or an associated entity, all of which were and are in reality controlled by DAVID J. MITCHELL and BARNET LIBERMAN.
- 59. Upon information and belief, LAS VEGAS LAND PARTNERS, LLC received its equity interests in the asset protection entities gratuitously, or for wholly inadequate consideration.
- 60. Upon information and belief, LAS VEGAS LAND PARTNERS, LLC is the nominal holders of the alleged interests, in the entity defendants, and takes its direction from DAVID J. MITCHELL and BARNET LIBERMAN, in managing and operation in the asset protection entities, which exist merely to help Entity Defendants, LAS VEGAS LAND PARTNERS, LLC, DAVID J. MITCHELL and BARNET LIBERMAN protect the assets of LAS VEGAS LAND PARTNERS, LLC from judgment creditors such as Plaintiffs.
- Plaintiff is informed and believes, that the Entity Defendants are the recipients of 61.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

- fraudulent transfers of real property, monies, and other valuable assets as hereinafter alleged.
- 62. Nype obtained a judgment against LVLP on or about April 10, 2015, and initiated post-judgment collection and discovery efforts during the Summer of 2015.
- 63. The first post-judgment discovery documentation received by NYPE were various tax returns and limited related information for LVLP, subsequently followed by various bank statements and financial ledger documentation, which production occurred from approximately late August, 2015 through and including November 2015.
- Most of the documentation so produced was already stale dated even when produced, 64. (for example, the bank statements only being current through early 2014, despite producing documentation in late 2015.
- 65. While the documentation produced in the latter half of 2015 disclosed some suspicious circumstances and questionable transactions, it became clear that substantial additional source documents would be required to flesh out and understand precisely what had occurred.
- Based on a preliminary review of the newly disclosed bank statements and ledgers, it 66. was noted that there was a comingling of funds related to various payments that appear to be made on behalf of other entities. Although not all of the canceled checks were provided, the bank statements of Las Vegas Land Partners, LLC located at Bates LVLP01-00001 to LVLP 08-00016 are indicative of usage by numerous related party entities. An example of the comingling can be found at LVLP 07-00047, more specifically checks number 1287, 1288 and 1289 payable to the Clark County Treasurer for parcels that do not appear to be recorded in the name of Las Vegas Land Partners, LLC and LVLP07-00048 more specifically checks number 1292 and 1293 payable to Delaware Secretary of State to register other entities.
- Documents provided by Las Vegas Land Partners, LLC consisting of a simple check 67. register covering the period 1/13/11 to 4/27/15 also supports that conclusion with the same date, payee and dollar amount information found on the checks.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

68.	A review of the full tax returns of LVLP Holdings, LLC provided at Bates LVLP09
	00001 to LVLP17-0064 Forms 1065 for calendar years 2005 to 2013 was first
	possible in the late fall of 2015 as well. The tax returns are indicative of a combination
	and consolidation of several related party Limited Liability Companies.

- 69. The organizational documents located at Bates LVLP18-00001 to LVLP19-00202 indicate that Las Vegas Land Partners, LLC is the single equity member of Wink One. LLC and Livework Manager, LLC (who is the sole equity member of Livework, LLC).
- 70. The members of Las Vegas Land Partners, LLC are Barnet Liberman and David Mitchell (Bates LVLP19-00033-35).
- 71. There is no explanation for the usage of "LVLP Holdings, LLC" as the filing entity for the tax returns. There are numerous real estate parcels, equity interests and sources of income arising from the various consolidated entities listed on the tax returns of LVLP Holdings, LLC that are not traceable to the ledgers provided by Las Vegas Land Partners, LLC.
- 72. Additionally there are numerous known sources of cash flow for example arising from Wink One, LLC related to the RTC Lease that are not traceable to the accounting records.
- 73. During the Summer of 2016, NYPE again promulgated detailed specific written discovery requests to LVLP, which requests were partially complied with in the form of additional tax returns and ledger documentation, but mostly objected to.
- 74. NYPE found it necessary to file a Motion to Compel discovery, and an Order resulting from many months of contested discovery disputes was finally entered by the Court on or about February 2, 2017.
- *75*. A substantial volume of additional documentation was ultimately produced, after repeated efforts by NYPE, which disclosed additional improprieties, misconduct, and transactions by LVLP and its principals designed to effectively render LVLP insolvent

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

and unable to respond in damages, which transactions will be discussed, in part, hereinafter.

- 76. To date, however, the Order Compelling Discovery of February 2, 2017 has only been partially complied with and there remain substantial deficiencies and blocks of documentation that could and should have been produced, but was not. NYPE intends to seek the missing documentation and discovery information required to fully flesh out NYPE's allegations and complaint through supplemental discovery proceedings in the original case, as well as through discovery activity in this newer case.
- 77. Even the documents produced from January through March, 2017, are inherently contradictory and do not match the data reported on the tax returns.
- *7*8. As one key example, however, of the importance of having accurate and complete source records, attached hereto as Exhibit "1" and by this reference incorporated herein is a certification by LVLP's New Jersey CPA for the first time disclosing that various affiliated and associated entities are disregarded for tax and accounting purposes, and are all reported through LVLP Holdings, LLC's business tax return.
- 79. The partial and incomplete documentation produced in both the fall of 2015, and 2017, does show extensive co-mingling, a failure to keep separate and adequate accounting records for various affiliates and associated companies, a decided lack of concrete detail, and an absolute failure to account for and explain various cash flow entries.
- 80. Gain the incomplete documentation produced to date, Plaintiff is unable to determine where LVLP's cash flow is coming from, or where the resulting cash flow is being applied.
- 81. On information and belief, the documentation available shows that LVLP, its affiliates and associated entities are shifting money between one entity and the other to pay bills and cover expenses as needed, and not in any coherent or recurring logical form.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

82.	The data that has been provided does not match LVLP tax returns, for example
	failing to disclose substantial income.

- 83. Part of the data provided appears to account for, in part, the financial transactions and relationship between LVLP and its joint venture partner (the entity which Nype procured to provide financing for LVLP's projects), Forest City Enterprises.
- 84. The data available to date appears to show that arrangements were made with Forest City to utilize LVLP's share of revenue and cash flow to reduce debt and build equity, resulting in an absence of actual cash receipt by LVLP.
- 85. Despite what those records are showing, however, the tax returns are wholly silent and fail to disclose the accrual of any imputed income or equity with respect to the Forest City Joint Ventures, despite the fact that the joint venture documents suggest that LVLP's share of revenue is being used to pay down debt and build equity, which would legally result in the accrual of taxable income which the law requires to be accurately reported
- 86. Indeed, until the preliminary information was received in the Fall of 2015 as supplemented by the early 2017 production, LVLP, based on the tax returns and documentation it had previously supplied, continued to operate, appeared to have assets, appeared to be paying taxes as accrued, and continued to vigorously defend itself.
- 87. One particular item first disclosed in the late Winter of 2017 is a statement by the acknowledged accountant for LVLP that numerous of the other defendant entities herein are "disregarded for tax purposes", meaning, on information and belief, that their revenue and expenses, as well as income and liabilities, while being nominally contained in a separate legal entity, are a practical matter, and as recognized by Federal Taxing Authorities, one and the same as LVLP.
- 88. Additional discovery information fleshed out in 2016 and early 2017 includes the fact that LVLP is at the present time effectively insolvent, despite showing millions of

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

dollars of networth on its tax returns, and has been forced to pay its attorneys in both the prior litigation and the present litigation through personal checks and credit cards of Mitchell and/or Liberman, or through affiliate entities.

- 89. Much of the newly received financial data also discloses that corporate filing fees for numerous of the defendants herein had been paid, ad hoc, from LVLP bank accounts, interchangeably, despite said entities nominally maintaining or claiming separate legal status.
- 90. Plaintiffs RUSSELL L. NYPE and the REVENUE PLUS, LLC (hereinafter collectively referred to as "Nype") were Defendants in a case originally initiated by current Defendants, LAS VEGAS LAND PARTNERS, LLC, LIVE WORK, LLC and ZOE PROPERTIES, LLC in the Eighth Judicial District Court in Clark County, Nevada under Case No. A551073, which case commenced on or about November 2, 2007 (hereinafter the "First Case").
- 91. Nype counterclaimed in that case with regard to his prior business dealings with LAS VEGAS LAND PARTNERS, LLC, its associate entities, and its principals, BARNET LIBERMAN (hereinafter "Liberman") and DAVID J. MITCHELL (hereinafter "Mitchell"), seeking compensation which he had been promised and which he had earned during the course of the parties ongoing business dealings regarding the development of numerous Las Vegas real estate holdings.
- 92. On information and belief, during the pendency of those proceedings, and after defaulting on their obligations to Nype, Liberman and Mitchell undertook the process of creating various affiliated and associate entities, including but not limited to several of the asset protection entities alleged hereinabove, utilizing sophisticated corporate and asset protection counsel.
- 93. After years of protracted litigation, Nype ultimately obtained a judgment against LAS VEGAS LAND PARTNERS, LLC on or about April 10, 2015 in the principal amount of \$2,608,797.50.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

94.	As alleged hereinabove, upon information and belief, pursuant to the Asset Protection
	Scheme, on various dates spanning 2007 through the present, Defendant LAS
	VEGAS LAND PARTNERS, LLC commenced multiple real property and equity
	ownership transfers to convey its valuable property interests, to one or more the asset
	protection entities which asset protection entities continue to hold the subject property
	or which have subsequently transferred such to additional entities in which Liberman,
	Mitchell, and or LVLP hold substantial beneficial interests.

- 95. In addition to the numerous real property conveyances alleged hereinabove, and totally unbeknownst to Nype at the time LAS VEGAS LAND PARTNERS, LLC transferred literally millions of dollars in monies and liquidated funds to its principals, LIBERMAN and MITCHELL, during a time that LAS VEGAS LAND PARTNERS, LLC, knew or reasonably should have known of Nype's substantial monetary claims against it.
- 96. The real estate and monetary transfers alleged hereinabove effectively rendered LAS VEGAS LAND PARTNERS insolvent, and unable to pay its debts on a regular basis as they matured, including but not limited to the monies that the Eighth Judicial District Court has determined are owed to Nype.
- 97. Upon information and belief, the aforesaid actions of all Defendants were undertaken consciously, knowingly, willfully, and specifically in an effort to defeat and avoid Plaintiff's rights which were being pursued in the First Case.
- 98. Upon information and belief, Plaintiff is informed and believes and thereon alleges that at all times herein mentioned Defendants, LIBERMAN AND MITCHELL were and are the alter ego of LAS VEGAS LAND PARTNERS, LLC, that said Defendant did and still does dominate, influence and control of LAS VEGAS LAND PARTNERS, LLC, that there existed and still exists a unity of ownership between them; that the individuality and separateness of each entity was and remains nonexistent; that each such entity was and remains a mere shell and naked framework

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

which LAS VEGAS LAND PARTNERS, LLC used and still use to conduct their business affairs; that each such entity is and remains inadequately capitalized; and that an injustice and fraud upon Plaintiff will result if the theoretical separateness of LAS VEGAS LAND PARTNERS, LLC entity is not disregarded and the said Defendant held liable for all relief being caught herein.

- 99. Upon information and belief, Plaintiff is informed and believes and thereon alleges that at all times herein mentioned Defendants, MEYER PROPERTY, LLC was and is the alter ego of MEYER PROPERTY, LLC, that said Defendants did and still do dominate, influence and control of MEYER PROPERTY, LLC, that there existed and still exists a unity of ownership between them; that the individuality and separateness of each entity was and remains non-existent; that each such entity was and remains a mere shell and naked framework which LAS VEGAS LAND PARTNERS, LLC, MITCHELL and LIBERMAN used and still use to conduct their business affairs; that each such entity is and remains inadequately capitalized; and that an injustice and fraud upon Plaintiff will result if the theoretical separateness of MEYER PROPERTY, LLC entity is not disregarded and the said Defendant held liable for all relief being caught herein.
- 100. Upon information and belief, Plaintiff is informed and believes and thereon alleges that at all times herein mentioned Defendants, LAS VEGAS LAND PARTNERS, LLC, LIBERMAN and MITCHELL were and are the alter ego of ZOE PROPERTY, LLC, that said Defendants did and still do dominate, influence and control of ZOE PROPERTY, LLC, that there existed and still exists a unity of ownership between them; that the individuality and separateness of each entity was and remains non-existent; that each such entity was and remains a mere shell and naked framework which LAS VEGAS LAND PARTNERS, LLC, MITCHELL and LIBERMAN used and still use to conduct their business affairs; that each such entity is and remains inadequately capitalized; and that an injustice and fraud upon Plaintiff

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

will result if the theoretical separateness of ZOE PROPERTY, LLC entity is not
disregarded and the said Defendant held liable for all relief being caught herein.

- 101. Upon information and belief, Plaintiff is informed and believes and thereon alleges that at all times herein mentioned Defendants, LAS VEGAS LAND PARTNERS, LLC, LIBERMAN and MITCHELL were and are the alter ego of LEAH PROPERTY, LLC, that said Defendants did and still do dominate, influence and control of LEAH PROPERTY, LLC, that there existed and still exists a unity of ownership between them; that the individuality and separateness of each entity was and remains non-existent; that each such entity was and remains a mere shell and naked framework which LAS VEGAS LAND PARTNERS, LLC, MITCHELL and LIBERMAN use and still use to conduct their business affairs; that each such entity is and remains inadequately capitalized; and that an injustice and fraud upon Plaintiff will result if the theoretical separateness of LEAH PROPERTY, LLC, if entity is not disregarded and the said Defendant held liable for all relief being caught herein.
- 102. Upon information and belief, Plaintiff is informed and believes and thereon alleges that at all times herein mentioned Defendants, LAS VEGAS LAND PARTNERS, LLC were and are the alter ego of WINK ONE, LLC, that said Defendant did and still does dominate, influence and control of LAS VEGAS LAND PARTNERS, LLC, that there existed and still exists a unity of ownership between them; that the individuality and separateness of each entity was and remains non-existent; that each such entity was and remains a mere shell and naked framework which WINK ONE, LLC used and still use to conduct their business affairs; that each such entity is and remains inadequately capitalized; and that an injustice and fraud upon Plaintiff will result if the theoretical separateness of WINK ONE, LLC if entity is not disregarded and the said Defendant held liable for all relief being caught herein
- 103. Upon information and belief, Plaintiff is informed and believes and thereon alleges that at all times herein mentioned Defendants, LAS VEGAS LAND PARTNERS,

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

LLC were and are the alter ego of LIVE WORK, LLC, that said Defendant did and still does dominate, influence and control of LAS VEGAS LAND PARTNERS, LLC, that there existed and still exists a unity of ownership between them; that the individuality and separateness of each entity was and remains non-existent; that each such entity was and remains a mere shell and naked framework which LIVE WORK, LLC used and still use to conduct their business affairs; that each such entity is and remains inadequately capitalized; and that an injustice and fraud upon

- 104. Plaintiff will result if the theoretical separateness of LIVE WORK, LLC if entity is not disregarded and the said Defendant held liable for all relief being caught herein.
- 105. Upon information and belief, Plaintiff is informed and believes and thereon alleges that at all times herein mentioned Defendants, LAS VEGAS LAND PARTNERS, LLC were and are the alter ego of LIVE WORK MANAGER, LLC, that said Defendant did and still does dominate, influence and control of LAS VEGAS LAND PARTNERS, LLC, that there existed and still exists a unity of ownership between them; that the individuality and separateness of each entity was and remains nonexistent; that each such entity was and remains a mere shell and naked framework which LIVE WORK MANAGER, LLC used and still use to conduct their business affairs; that each such entity is and remains inadequately capitalized; and that an injustice and fraud upon Plaintiff will result if the theoretical separateness of LIVE WORK MANAGER, LLC entity is not disregarded and the said Defendant held liable for all relief being caught herein.
- 106. Upon information and belief, Plaintiff is informed and believes and thereon alleges that at all times herein mentioned Defendants, LAS VEGAS LAND PARTNERS, LLC, was and are the alter ego of AQUARIUS OWNER, LLC, that said Defendant did and still does dominate, influence and control of LAS VEGAS LAND PARTNERS, LLC, that there existed and still exists a unity of ownership between them; that the individuality and separateness of each entity was and remains non-

existent; that each such entity was and remains a mere shell and naked framework which AQUARIUS OWNER, LLC used and still use to conduct their business affairs; that each such entity remains inadequately capitalized; and that an injustice and fraud upon Plaintiff will result if the theoretical separateness of AQUARIUS OWNER, LLC entity is not disregarded and the said Defendant held liable for all relief being caught herein.

- 107. Upon information and belief, Plaintiff is informed and believes and thereon alleges that at all times herein mentioned Defendants, LAS VEGAS LAND PARTNERS, LLC were and are the alter ego of LVLP HOLDINGS, LLC, that said Defendant did and still does dominate, influence and control of LAS VEGAS LAND PARTNERS, LLC, that there existed and still exists a unity of ownership between them; that the individuality and separateness of each entity was and remains non-existent; that each such entity was and remains a mere shell and naked framework which LVLP HOLDINGS, LLC used and still use to conduct their business affairs; that each such entity is and remains inadequately capitalized; and that an injustice and fraud upon Plaintiff will result if the theoretical separateness of LVLP HOLDINGS, LLC entity is not disregarded and the said Defendant held liable for all relief being caught herein.
 108. Upon information and belief, Plaintiff is informed and believes and thereon alleges that at all times herein mentioned Defendants, LAS VEGAS LAND PARTNERS,
- that at all times herein mentioned Defendants, LAS VEGAS LAND PARTNERS, LLC, were and are the alter ego of MITCHELL HOLDINGS, LLC, that said Defendant did and still does dominate, influence and control of LAS VEGAS LAND PARTNERS, LLC, that there existed and still exists a unity of ownership between them; that the individuality and separateness of each entity was and remains non-existent; that each such entity was and remains a mere shell and naked framework which MITCHELL HOLDINGS, LLC used and still use to conduct their business affairs; that each such entity is and remains inadequately capitalized; and that an injustice and fraud upon Plaintiff will result if the theoretical separateness

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

MITCHELL HOLDINGS, LLC entity is not disregarded and the said Defendant held liable for all relief being caught herein.

- 109. Upon information and belief, Plaintiff is informed and believes and thereon alleges that at all times herein mentioned Defendants, LAS VEGAS LAND PARTNERS, LLC were and are the alter ego of LIEBERMAN HOLDINGS, LLC, that said Defendant did and still does dominate, influence and control of LAS VEGAS LAND PARTNERS, LLC, that there existed and still exists a unity of ownership between them; that the individuality and separateness of each entity was and remains nonexistent; that each such entity was and remains a mere shell and naked framework which MITCHELL HOLDINGS, LLC, used and still use to conduct their business affairs; that each such entity is and remains inadequately capitalized; and that an injustice and fraud upon Plaintiff will result if the theoretical separateness of MITCHELL HOLDINGS, LLC entity is not disregarded and the said Defendant held liable for all relief being caught herein.
- 110. Upon information and belief, Plaintiff is informed and believes and thereon alleges that at all times herein mentioned Defendants, LAS VEGAS LAND PARTNERS, LLC, were and are the alter ego of 305 LAS VEGAS, LLC, that said Defendant did and still does dominate, influence and control of LAS VEGAS LAND PARTNERS, LLC, that there existed and still exists a unity of ownership between them; that the individuality and separateness of each entity was and remains non-existent; that each such entity was and remains a mere shell and naked framework which 305 LAS VEGAS, LLC, used and still use to conduct their business affairs; that each such entity is and remains inadequately capitalized; and that an injustice and fraud upon Plaintiff will result if the theoretical separateness of LAS VEGAS LAND PARTNERS, LLC entity is not disregarded and the said Defendant held liable for all relief being caught herein.
- 111. Upon information and belief, Plaintiff is informed and believes and thereon alleges

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

that at all times herein mentioned Defendants, LAS VEGAS LAND PARTNERS, LLC, was and are the alter ego of LIVE WORKS TIC SUCCESSOR, LLC, that said Defendant did and still does dominate, influence and control of LAS VEGAS LAND PARTNERS, LLC, that there existed and still exists a unity of ownership between them; that the individuality and separateness of each entity was and remains non-existent; that each such entity was and remains a mere shell and naked framework which LIVE WORKS TIC SUCCESSOR, LLC used and still use to conduct their business affairs; that each such entity is and remains inadequately capitalized; and that an injustice and fraud upon Plaintiff will result if the theoretical separateness of LAS VEGAS LAND PARTNERS, LLC entity is not disregarded and the said Defendant held liable for all relief being caught herein.

112. Upon information and belief, Plaintiff is informed and believes and thereon alleges that at all times herein mentioned Defendants, LAS VEGAS LAND PARTNERS, LLC, were and are the alter ego of FC/LIVE WORK VEGAS, LLC, that said Defendant did and still does dominate, influence and control of LAS VEGAS LAND PARTNERS, LLC, that there existed and still exists a unity of ownership between them; that the individuality and separateness of each entity was and remains nonexistent; that each such entity was and remains a mere shell and naked framework which FC/LIVE WORK VEGAS, LLC used and still use to conduct their business affairs; that each such entity is and remains inadequately capitalized; and that an injustice and fraud upon Plaintiff will result if the theoretical separateness of LAS VEGAS LAND PARTNERS, LLC entity is not disregarded and the said Defendant held liable for all relief being caught herein.

113. Upon information and belief, Plaintiff is informed and believes and thereon alleges that at all times herein mentioned Defendants, LAS VEGAS LAND PARTNERS, LLC, were and are the alter ego of CASINO COOLIDGE, LLC, that said Defendant did and still does dominate, influence and control of LAS VEGAS LAND

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

PARTNERS, LLC, that there existed and still exists a unity of ownership between them; that the individuality and separateness of each entity was and remains nonexistent; that each such entity was and remains a mere shell and naked framework which CASINO COOLIDGE, LLC used and still use to conduct their business affairs; that each such entity is and remains inadequately capitalized; and that an injustice and fraud upon Plaintiff will result if the theoretical separateness of LAS VEGAS LAND PARTNERS, LLC entity is not disregarded and the said Defendant held liable for all relief being caught herein.

114. This New Case is effectively an extension and development of the first litigation, and is an effort by Plaintiffs to avoid the wrongful misconduct of Defendants and each of them, in attempting to avoid NYPE's creditor rights and protect the assets of LAS VEGAS LAND PARTNERS, LLC, which were, are, and should be available to satisfy Plaintiff's claims.

FIRST CLAIM FOR RELIEF

(Constructive Trust)

- 115. Plaintiff incorporates by reference paragraphs 1 through 114 as though fully set forth.
- 116. Pursuant to the pending litigation in the First Case, it was understood that options or equity in various Real Estate parcels owned by LAS VEGAS LAND PARTNERS, LLC in or about 2006, as well as "Choses In Action" such as equity ownership in various affiliated entities, would be available to satisfy Plaintiff's judgment.
- 117. Defendants knew or reasonably should have known, that the subject property interests were valuable, and that the legitimate equity in the subject real property or beneficial ownership of the affiliate entities and limited liability ownership interest would be sufficient to satisfy Nype's claim, but for the fraudulent conveyances alleged herein.
- 118. Defendants transferred, hypothecated and encumbered the various property for improper purposes and inadequate consideration.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

119.	All of the foregoing facts make it just and equitable that this court impose and
	declare a constructive trust upon the subject property interests, and any proceeds
	therefrom, in favor of Plaintiffs.

- 120. The court can and should declare a lien against the subject properties, order the sale thereof, and/or order the payment of all rents or monies received from the subject property to Plaintiffs herein.
- 121. It has been necessary for Plaintiff to retain the services of an attorney to prosecute this action and Plaintiff is therefore entitled to an award of reasonable attorneys' fees

SECOND CLAIM FOR RELIEF

(Fraudulent Conveyance)

- 122. Plaintiff incorporates by reference paragraphs 1 through 121 as though fully set forth.
- 123. Plaintiff is informed and believes, and on that basis alleges that Defendants have taken numerous actions to avoid satisfying Plaintiff's claims against LAS VEGAS LAND PARTNERS, LLC.
- 124. Plaintiff alleges on information and belief that in order to avoid potential execution against real estate interests, inter alia, Defendants, LAS VEGAS LAND PARTNERS, LLC took steps to hypothecate and transfer said property interests and cash to the other Defendants herein.
- 125. Plaintiff is informed and believes, and on that basis alleges that such transfers by Defendants were undertaken in an effort to avoid the adverse financial consequences of Plaintiff's pending claims, as well as those of other creditors.
- **126.** Plaintiff is informed and believes, and on that basis alleges that the aforementioned transfers were gratuitous, or for inadequate or disguised consideration, made without obligation, and made with an intent to deprive Plaintiff of its ability to recover such funds directly from LAS VEGAS LAND PARTNERS, LLC in connection with the monies owed to Plaintiff.
- As a result of the aforementioned acts of Defendants, Plaintiff is entitled to a 127.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

Judgment against them, jointly and severally, in an amount in excess of \$10,000.00.

- 128. On or about August 14, 2015, during the course of proceedings initiated to enforce and collect upon the judgment in the First Case, Defendant LAS VEGAS LAND PARTNERS, LLC first provided tax returns and detail financial information which revealed to Nype, for the first time, that it had transferred its beneficial interest in numerous real estate parcels, and in the equity of its affiliates, as well as many millions of dollars, to the entity defendants and/or Liberman and Mitchell, during the ongoing pendency of the first case. In making such transfers, Defendants LAS VEGAS LAND PARTNERS, LLC, MITCHELL and LIBERMAN have acted with the actual intent to hinder delay and to defraud their creditors, including Nype, but fraudulently transferring assets to insiders and the entity defendants.
- 129. Nype lacks an adequate remedy at law because, unless the relief sought in this complaint is granted, LAS VEGAS LAND PARTNERS, LLC with the aid of the other Defendants will have succeeded in fraudulently transferring its assets to insiders and/or related entities, depriving Nype of the opportunity to collect upon the judgment, and we see what is due and owing from LAS VEGAS LAND PARTNERS, LLC.
- 130. Nype has an high probability of success on the merits in this action.
- 131. The aforesaid transfer of assets to insiders and/or the entity defendants was made with actual intent to hinder, delay or defraud creditors, most significantly Nype, and these transfers therefore constitute fraudulent transfers in violation of NRS 112.180.
- 132. LAS VEGAS LAND PARTNERS, LLC did not receive reasonably equivalent value for the transfers herein alleged.
- 133. Defendant, LAS VEGAS LAND PARTNERS, LLC intended to incur or reasonably should have believed they would incur debts beyond its ability to pay the same as they become due, and thus the transfers at issue are far from transfers in violation of Nevada law.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

134.	Because of the special circumstances of this case, in which LAS VEGAS LAND
	PARTNERS, LLC is liable for a judgment it has consistently ignored and avoided
	having committed fraud to avoid the judgment and their debts to Nype, and the hiding
	assets and also constituting a risk of further affirmative frustration of valid efforts by
	Nype to collect upon his judgment, Nype is entitled to:

- (1) The appointment of receiver to take possession of the assets of LVLP, LLC;
- **(2)** An injunction against further dissipation, disposition, or assignment of any and all assets and property owned by LAS VEGAS LAND PARTNERS, LLC:
- (3) Any other relief that the circumstances may require, including a declaration that the transfers in question are void, and that the assets in question are subject to execution by Nype.
- 135. It has been necessary for Plaintiff to retain the services of an attorney to prosecute this action, and Plaintiff is, therefore, entitled to reasonable attorneys' fees.

THIRD CLAIM FOR RELIEF

(Civil Conspiracy)

- 136. Plaintiff incorporates by reference paragraphs 1 through 135 as though fully set forth.
- 137. As alleged hereinabove, and upon information and belief, the transfer of the subject real estate and equity ownership interests and substantial monetary amounts were undertaken by Defendants with full knowledge as to the relevant circumstances and in an effort to participate in transactions in derogation of the rights of Plaintiff.
- 138. The knowing and willful conduct of the entity Defendants in agreeing to receive the subject real property and act as a nominee for said LAS VEGAS LAND PARTNERS. LLC, LIBERMAN and MITCHELL constitute acts of civil conspiracy.
- 139. The Defendants, and each of them worked together in concerted actions with the intent to accomplish an unlawful purpose, vis a vis Plaintiff.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

140.	The purpose of the unlawful, concerted actions of Defendants was intended to, or
	would likely result in direct harm to Plaintiff.

- 141. As a direct and proximate result of the aforesaid civil conspiracy, undertaken between the Defendants, Plaintiff has been damaged in an amount in excess of \$10,000.00.
- 142. As alleged hereinabove, upon information and belief, Defendants' conduct was willful, knowing, intentional, and malicious, as a matter of law, entitling Plaintiff to recover exemplary damages in an amount in excess of \$10,000.00.
- 143. That it has been necessary for Plaintiff to retain the services of an attorney to prosecute this action, and Plaintiff is therefore entitled to reasonable attorneys' fees.

FOURTH CLAIM FOR RELIEF

(Declaratory Relief)

- 144. Plaintiff incorporates by references Paragraphs 1 through 143 as though fully set forth herein.
- 145. A true and ripe controversy exists as to the dispute, and declaratory relief pursuant to NRS 30.040 is necessary to declare the respective rights, responsibilities, and obligations between the parties as a consequence of Plaintiff's judgment against LAS VEGAS LAND PARTNERS, LLC, and as relates to the various transactions undertaken by Defendants, including but not limited to transactions involving various parcels of valuable Las Vegas Real Estate and the transfer of valuable equity ownership interests as regards LVLP's affiliated entities.
- 146. For all of the reasons set forth hereinabove, Defendants have acted wrongfully and in violation of Plaintiffs rights as a Creditor, and a direct declaration as to the invalidity of Defendants' transfers, and the viability of Plaintiff's Judgment Lien against real estate as a priority lien (subject only to legitimate preexisting senior encumbrance), and as a valid perfected security interest as regards valuable personal property interests is appropriate, and should be determined and declared by the court.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

147. That it has been necessary for the Plaintiff to retain the services of an attorney to prosecute this action and plaintiff is therefore entitled to reasonable attorneys fees.

FIFTH CLAIM FOR RELIEF

(Alter Ego)

- 148. Plaintiff incorporates by references Paragraphs 1 through 147 As though fully set forth herein.
- 149. Plaintiff is informed and believes and thereon alleges that at all times herein mentioned, Defendants, DAVID J. MITCHELL; BARNET LIBERMAN; LAS VEGAS LAND PARTNERS, LLC; MEYER PROPERTY, LTD.; ZOE PROPERTY, LLC; LEAH PROPERTY, LLC; WINK ONE, LLC; LIVE WORK, LLC; LIVE WORK MANAGER, LLC; AQUARIAS OWNER, LLC; LVLP HOLDINGS, LLC; MITCHELLHOLDINGS, LLC; LIEBERMAN HOLDINGS, LLC; 305 LAS VEGAS LLC; LIVE WORKS TIC SUCCESSOR, LLC; FC/LIVE WORK VEGAS, LLC, CASINO COOLIDGE, LLC, and each of them, were and remain the alter-egos of each other; that said Defendants did and still do dominate, influence and control each other; that there existed and still exists a unity of ownership between them; that the individuality and separateness of each entity was and remains non-existent; that each such entity was and remains a mere shell and naked framework which the other Defendants used and still use to conduct their business affairs; that each such entity is and remains inadequately capitalized; and that an injustice and fraud upon Plaintiff will result if the theoretical separateness of the Defendant entities is not disregarded and each such Defendant held liable for all relief being sought herein.
- **150.** Upon information and belief, to the extent that one or more of the Defendant entities is nominally owned or operated by or through LAS VEGAS LAND PARTNERS, LIBERMAN or MITCHELL with respect to one or more of the Defendant entities, which entities as a practical matter exist with functional unity of ownership in said Defendants, LAS VEGAS LAND PARTNERS, LIBERMAN or

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

MITCHELL, the true and factual individuality and separateness of each such entity was and remains non-existent; each such entity was and remains a mere shell and naked framework, which Defendants LAS VEGAS LAND PARTNERS, LIBERMAN or MITCHELL utilize, through the offices of said Defendants LAS VEGAS LAND PARTNERS, LIBERMAN or MITCHELL and/or through nominees and others to conduct their business affairs. Each such entity is, upon information and belief, merely another nominal manifestation of the business and financial affairs of Defendants LAS VEGAS LAND PARTNERS, LIBERMAN or MITCHELL, and to recognize any such separate entity would work as separate and distinct from Defendants LAS VEGAS LAND PARTNERS, LIBERMAN or MITCHELL, an injustice and fraud upon Plaintiff, to the extent the theoretical or putative separateness of such entity is not disregarded and said nominal Defendants held liable for all the relief being sought herein.

- **151.** As a matter of both statutory common law, and prior declarations of the Eighth Judicial District Court, it is appropriate that the Court further determine and declare that all of the aforesaid entities be held to be the Alter Egos of Defendants LAS VEGAS LAND PARTNERS, LIBERMAN or MITCHELL, and that therefore the various Defendants named herein can and should be jointly and severely liable to the Plaintiff with regard to all claims asserted.
- 152. That it has been necessary for the Plaintiff to retain the services of an attorney to prosecute this action and plaintiff is therefore entitled to reasonable attorneys fees.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff prays for judgment against Defendants and each of them

as follows:

- 1. For a sum in excess of \$10,000.00;
- 2. For exemplary damages in an amount in excess of \$10,000,00;
- 3. For the imposition of a constructive trust upon the various parcels of real property and valuable equity ownership interests formerly owned by LAS VEGAS LAND

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

PARTNERS, LLC for the benefit of Plaintiff;

- 4. For an order requiring the sale of the parcels of real estate and valuable ownership interest and an order directing the payment of all rents with regard to the subject real property be made to the order of Plaintiff herein;
- 5. For the Appointment of a Receiver;
- 6. For interest upon all damages which Plaintiff recovers at the Nevada Statutory rate.
- 7. For a declaration as to the invalidity of Defendants' transactions as regards to the various valuable real estate interests and equity ownership interests formerly owned by LAS VEGAS LAND PARTNERS, LLC, and a further declaration that Plaintiff's Judgment Lien is valid and stands as a priority lien, subject only to legitimate senior encumbrances.
- 7. For a determination that the Defendants are the alter egos of each other, and should all be held liable to Plaintiff, jointly and severally, for the damages sought herein.
- 8. The actions by Defendant, LAS VEGAS LAND PARTNERS, LLC, in conjunction with the other Defendants, to convey valuable property and monies to other Defendants with the intent to deprive Plaintiff of its ability to recover funds was undertaking in a knowing, willful, intentional, and malicious manner, which under Nevada law constitute malice and is sufficient grounds to invoke the availability of exemplary damages against Defendants, and each of them.
- 9. As a consequence of the willful malicious and intentional misconduct of the Defendants and each of them, Nype is entitled to recover exemplary damages from each Defendant in accordance with Nevada Law, in an amount in excess of \$10,000.00, the precise amount to be proven at time of trial;

10.	For reasonable attorneys'	fees for	the prosecution	of this suit;	and
-----	---------------------------	----------	-----------------	---------------	-----

11. For such other and further relief as the Court may deem just and proper. DATED this 21 day of August, 2017.

JOHN W. MULJE & ASSOCIATES

JOHN W. MUIJE, ESQ Nevada Bar-No. 2419 1840 E. Sahara Ave #106 Las Vegas, Nevada 89104
Telephone: (702) 386-7002
Fax No: (702) 386-9135
Email: jmuije@muijelawoffice.com

Attorneys for Plaintiffs

JOHN W. MUIJE & ASSOCIATES 1840 E. SAHARA AVE. #106 LAS VEGAS, NEVADA 89104 Phone: (702) 388-7002 Fex: (702) 388-9135

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I here	eby certify that I	am an emp	loyee of JOH	N W. MU	JE & ASSO	OCIA	TES, and that	
on the 2157	lay of August, 20	17, I cause	d to be served	a true and c	correct copy	of th	e foregoing FIR	5 7
AMENDED	COMPLAINT	FOR: (1) CONSTR	UCTIVE	TRUST;	(2)	FRAUDULEN	T
CONVEYA	NCE; (3) CON	SPIRACY	TO DEFRA	UD; (4) D	ECLARAT	FOR	y relief; an	Œ
(5) ALTER	EGO, in the foll	lowing mar	nner:					

by placing a copy of the same for mailing in the United States mail, with first class postage prepaid addressed as follows; and/or

by electronically filing with the Clerk of the Court via the Odyssey E-File and Serve System;

- by placing a copy of the same for mailing in the United States mail, with first class postage prepaid marked certified return receipt requested addressed as follows:

 via facsimile at the facsimile number listed below; and/or
- pursuant to EDCR 7.26, by causing a copy to be sent via facsimile at the number(s) listed below; and/or
- □ by hand-delivering a copy to the party or parties as listed below:

Garry L. Hayes, Esq. HAYES & WELSH
199 Arroyo Grande, #200
Henderson, Nevada 89074
Telephone: (702) 434-3444
Facsimile: (702) 434-3739
E-Mail: ghayes@lvlaw.com
Attorneys for Defendants

Micah S. Echols, Esq.
MARQUIS AURBACH COFFING
10001 Park Run Drive
Las Vegas, Nevada 89134
Telephone: (702) 382-0711
Facsimile: (702) 382-5816
E-Mail: mechols@maclaw.com
Attorneys for Plaintiffs/CounterDefendant

An employee of JOHN W. MUIJE & ASSOCIATES

All employee of John w. Molie & Associates

R:\J Files\Nype vs Las Vegas Land Parnters, J3792H\2016---05 - Alter Ego SUIT\Pleadings\8.21.17 First Amended Complaint. wpd

EXHIBIT 4

Skip to Main Content Logout My Account Search Menu New District Civil/Criminal Search Refine Search Back Location : District Court Civil/Criminal Help

REGISTER OF ACTIONS CASE No. A-16-740689-B

Russell Nype, Plaintiff(s) vs. David Mitchell, Defendant(s)

തതതതതതത

Case Type: NRS Chapters 78-89
Date Filed: 07/26/2016
Location: Department 11
Case Number History: A-16-740689-C
Cross-Reference Case Number: A740689

	Party Information	
Defendant	305 Las Vegas LLC	Lead Attorneys Brian W. Boschee <i>Retained</i> 702-791-0308(W)
Defendant	Aquarius Owner LLC	Garry L. Hayes <i>Retained</i> 702-832-5592(W)
Defendant	Casino Coolidge LLC	Elliot S. Blut Retained 702-384-1050(W)
Defendant	FC/Live Work Vegas LLC	James L Edwards, ESQ Retained 702-384-8000(W)
Defendant	Las Vegas Land Partners LLC	Garry L. Hayes Retained 702-832-5592(W)
Defendant	Leah Property LLC	Garry L. Hayes Retained 702-832-5592(W)
Defendant	Liberman, Barnet	Elliot S. Blut Retained 702-384-1050(W)
Defendant	Live Work LLC	Garry L. Hayes <i>Retained</i> 702-832-5592(W)
Defendant	Live Work Manager LLC	Garry L. Hayes <i>Retained</i> 702-832-5592(W)
Defendant	Live Works TIC Successor LLC	Garry L. Hayes Retained 702-832-5592(W)
Defendant	LVLP Holdings LLC	Garry L. Hayes Retained 702-832-5592(W)
Defendant	Meyer Property Ltd	Garry L. Hayes Retained 702-832-5592(W)
Defendant	Mitchell Holdings LLC	Garry L. Hayes <i>Retained</i>

702-832-5592(W)

Defendant Mitchell, David J **Harold Stanley Johnson**

Retained 702-823-3500(W)

Defendant Wink One LLC Garry L. Hayes

Retained 702-832-5592(W)

Defendant Zoe Property LLC Garry L. Hayes

Retained 702-832-5592(W)

John W. Muije Plaintiff Nype, Russell L Retained

7023867002(W)

Plaintiff Revenue Plus LLC John W. Muije Retained

7023867002(W)

EVENTS & ORDERS OF THE COURT

DISPOSITIONS

11/29/2018 Order of Dismissal Without Prejudice (Judicial Officer: Gonzalez, Elizabeth)

Debtors: Liberman Holdings LLC (Defendant)

Creditors: Russell L Nype (Plaintiff), Revenue Plus LLC (Plaintiff)

Judgment: 11/29/2018, Docketed: 11/29/2018

09/20/2019 Sanctions (Judicial Officer: Gonzalez, Elizabeth)

Debtors: David J Mitchell (Defendant), Meyer Property Ltd (Defendant), Zoe Property LLC (Defendant), Leah Property LLC (Defendant), Wink One LLC (Defendant), Live Work LLC (Defendant), Live Work Manager LLC (Defendant), Aquarius Owner LLC (Defendant), LVLP Holdings LLC (Defendant), Mitchell Holdings LLC (Defendant), Live Works TIC Successor LLC (Defendant)

Creditors: Russell L Nype (Plaintiff), Revenue Plus LLC (Plaintiff)

Judgment: 09/20/2019, Docketed: 09/23/2019

Total Judgment: 160,086.46

09/24/2019 Judgment Plus Legal Interest (Judicial Officer: Gonzalez, Elizabeth)

Debtors: David J Mitchell (Defendant), Meyer Property Ltd (Defendant), Zoe Property LLC (Defendant), Leah Property LLC (Defendant), Wink One LLC (Defendant), Live Work LLC (Defendant), Live Work Manager LLC (Defendant), Aquarius Owner LLC (Defendant), LVLP Holdings LLC

(Defendant), Mitchell Holdings LLC (Defendant), Live Works TiC Successor LLC (Defendant) Creditors: Russell L Nype (Plaintiff), Revenue Plus LLC (Plaintiff)

Judgment: 09/24/2019, Docketed: 09/24/2019

Total Judgment: 160,086.46

OTHER EVENTS AND HEARINGS

07/26/2016 Complaint

Complaint

07/27/2016 Notice of Intent to Take Default

Notice of Intent to Take Default

07/27/2016 **Initial Appearance Fee Disclosure** Initial Appearance Fee Disclosure

07/27/2016 Disclosure Statement

N.R.C.P. Rule 7.1 Disclosure Statement

Ex Parte Motion for Enlargement of Time 11/17/2016

Ex Parte Motion to Extend Time for Service of Process

11/23/2016 Order

Order Extending Time for Service of Process

02/23/2017 Ex Parte Motion for Enlargement of Time

Ex Parte Motion to Extend Time for Service of Process (Second Request)

Proof of Service 02/24/2017

Proof of Service of Casino Coolidge, LLC

02/27/2017 Affidavit of Service

Affidavit of Service of Live Works TIC Successor, LLC

Affidavit of Service 02/27/2017

Affidavit of Service of Liberman Holdings, LLC

Affidavit of Service 02/27/2017

Affidavit of Service of Barnet Liberman

02/27/2017 **Affidavit of Service**

Affidavit of Service of Zoe Property, LL

Affidavit of Service 02/27/2017

Affidavit of Service of Live Work, LLC

02/27/2017 **Affidavit of Service**

Affidavit of Service of Aquarius Owner, LLC

Affidavit of Service 02/27/2017

Affidevit of Service of LVLP Holdings, LLC

02/27/2017	Affidavit of Service Affidavit of Service of Mitchell Holdings, LLC
02/27/2017	Affidavit of Service Affidavit of Service of Wink One, LLC
02/27/2017	Affidavit of Service Affidavit of Service of Leah Property, LLC
02/27/2017	Affidavit of Service Affidavit of Service of David Mitchell
02/27/2017	Affidavit of Service Affidavit of Service of Live Work Manager, LLC
02/27/2017	Affidavit of Service Affidavit of Service of Meyer Property, Ltd
02/28/2017	Proof of Service Proof of Service of Leah Property, LLC
02/28/2017	Proof of Service Proof of Service
02/28/2017	Proof of Service Proof of Service
02/28/2017	Proof of Service Proof of Service of Las Vegas Land Partners, LLC
03/02/2017	Peremptory Challenge Peremptory Challenge of Judge
03/02/2017	Initial Appearance Fee Disclosure Initial Appearance Fee Disclosure
03/02/2017	Notice of Department Reassignment Notice of Department Reassignment
03/03/2017	Intentional Misconduct Case
03/03/2017	Initial Appearance Fee Disclosure Initial Appearance Fee Disclosure
03/03/2017	Notice of Department Reassignment
03/06/2017	Notice of Department Reassignment Order Extending Time to Serve
03/06/2017	Order Extending Time for Service of Process (Second Request) Notice of Entry of Order
03/14/2017	
03/14/2017	
03/14/2017	
03/14/2017	
03/14/2017	
03/14/2017	
03/14/2017	
03/14/2017	
03/14/2017	
03/14/2017	Demand for Security of Costs Demand Demand for Security of Costs
03/14/2017	Demand
03/14/2017	
03/14/2017	
03/14/2017	Demand for Security of Costs Demand Demand for Security of Costs
03/14/2017	
03/14/2017	
03/14/2017	
03/14/2017	
03/14/2017	
03/14/2017	Demand
03/14/2017	Demand for Security of Costs Demand Demand for Security of Costs
03/14/2017	
03/14/2017	
03/14/2017	
03/14/2017	

```
Demand for Security of Costs
03/14/2017 Demand
               Demand for Security of Costs
03/14/2017
             Demand
              Demand for Security of Costs
             Demand
03/14/2017
              Demand for Security of Costs
03/14/2017
             Demand
              Demand for Security of Costs
             Demand
03/14/2017
              Demand for Security of Costs
03/14/2017
             Demand
              Demand for Security of Costs
03/14/2017
             Demand
              Demand for Security of Costs
             Notice of Intent to Take Default
03/21/2017
               Ten-Day Notice of Intent to Take Default
03/21/2017
             Notice of Posting Bond
               Notice of Posting Cost Bonds
03/23/2017
             Motion to Strike
               Defendants' Motion to Strike Plaintiffs' Jury Demand
03/24/2017
             Arbitration File
              Arbitration File
04/06/2017
             Motion to Dismiss
               Defendants' Motion to Dismiss Plaintiffs' Complaint Pursuant to NRS 86.548(2), NRCP 12(b)(2) and 12(b)(5)
04/13/2017
             Stipulation and Order
               Stipulation and Order to Continue Hearing On Defendant's Motion to Strike Plaintiff's Jury Demand
04/14/2017
             Notice of Entry of Stipulation and Order
              Notice of Entry of Stipulation and Order to Continue Hearing on Defendant's Motion to Strike Plaintiff's Jury Demand
04/17/2017
             Opposition and Countermotion
               Opposition to Defendant's Motion to Strike Plaintiffs' Jury Demand and Countermotion for Advisory Jury as to Equitable Issues
             Reply to Opposition
04/25/2017
              Defendants' Reply to Opposition to Defendants' Motion to Strike Plaintiffs' Jury Demand, and Opposition to Plaintiffs' Countermotion for Advisory
               Jury as to Equitable Issues
04/28/2017 Stipulation and Order
               Stipulation and Order to Continue Hearing on Defendants' Motion to Dismiss Plaintiffs' Complaint Pursuant to NRS 86.548(2), NRCP 12(b)(2) and
               12(b)(5)
05/01/2017
            Notice of Entry of Stipulation and Order
              Notice of Entry of Stipulation and Order to Continue Hearing on Defedants' Motion to Dismiss Plaintiff's Complaint Pursuant to NRS 86.548(2),
              NRCP 12(b)(2) and 12(b)(5)
05/02/2017
             Motion to Strike (9:00 AM) (Judicial Officer Hardy, Joe)
              Defendants' Motion to Strike Plaintiffs' Jury Demand
                            Continued to 05/02/2017 - Stipulation and Order - 305 Las Vegas LLC; Aquarius Owner LLC; Casino Coolidge LLC; Las Vegas
                04/24/2017 Land Partners LLC; Leah Property LLC; Liberman Holdings LLC; Liberman, Barnet; Live Work LLC; Live Work Manager LLC; Live Works TIC Successor LLC; LVLP Holdings LLC; Meyer Property Ltd; Mitchell Holdings LLC; Mitchell, David J; Nype, Russell
                            L; Revenue Plus LLC; Wink One LLC; Zoe Property LLC
             Result: Motion Granted
05/02/2017
             Opposition and Countermotion (9:00 AM) (Judicial Officer Hardy, Joe)
              Plaintiffs' Opposition to Defendant's Motion to Strike Plaintiffs' Jury Demand and Countermotion for Advisory Jury as to Equitable Issues
             Result: Motion Denied
05/02/2017
            All Pending Motions (9:00 AM) (Judicial Officer Hardy, Joe)
              Parties Present
              Minutes
             Result: Matter Heard
05/02/2017
            Substitution of Attorney
              Substitution of Attorney
            Substitution of Attorney
05/02/2017
              Substitution of Attorney
            Stipulation and Order
05/23/2017
              Stipulation and Order to Continue Hearing on Defendant's Motion to Dismiss Plaintiff's Complaint
            Order Granting Motion
05/23/2017
              Order Granting Defendants' Motion to Strike Plaintiffs' Jury Demand, and Denying Plaintiffs' Countermotion for Advisory Jury as to Equitable
              Issues
             Notice of Entry of Order
05/24/2017
              Notice of Entry of Order
05/24/2017
             Notice of Entry of Stipulation and Order
              Notice of Entry of Stipulation and Order to Continue Hearing on Defendants' Motion to Dismiss Plaintiffs' Complaint
05/30/2017
            Stipulation and Order
              Stipulation and Order to Continue Briefing Deadlines Re Defendants' Motion to Dismiss Plaintiffs' Complaint Pursuant to NRS 86.548(2), NRCP
              12(b)(2) and 12(b)(5)
            Notice of Entry of Stipulation and Order
05/31/2017
              Notice of Entry of Stipulation and Order to Continue Briefing Deadlines Re: Defendants' Motion to Dismiss Plaintiffs' Complaint Pursuant to NRS
              86.548(2), NRCP 12(b)(2) and 12(b)(5)
06/06/2017
            Stipulation and Order
              Stipulation and Order to Continue Hearing On Defendants' Motion to Dismiss Plaintiffs' Complaint Pursuant to NRS 86.548(2), NRCP 12(b)(2) and
              12(b)(5) And Other Briefing Deadlines
06/07/2017 Notice of Entry of Stipulation and Order
              Notice of Entry of Stipulation & Order to Continue Hearing on Defendants' Motion to Dismiss Plaintiffs' Complaint Pursuant to NRS 86.548(2), NRCP 12(b)(2) and 12(b)(5) And Other Briefing Deadlines
            Opposition to Motion
06/14/2017
```

Opposition to Defendants' Motion to Dismiss

06/15/2017

Errata

Errata to Opposition to Defendants' Motion to Dismiss **Reply to Opposition** 07/06/2017 Defendants' Reply to Plaintiffs' Opposition to Motion to Dismiss Plaintiffs' Complaint Pursuant to NRS 86.548(2), NRCP 12(b)(2) and 12(b)(5) 07/07/2017 Joinder Joinder and Reply of 305 Las Vegas, LLC and Barnet Liberman in Support of Motion to Dismiss Plaintiffs Complaint Pursuant to NRS 86.548(2), NRCP 12(b)(2) and 12(b)(5) 07/13/2017 Motion to Dismiss (9:00 AM) (Judicial Officer Hardy, Joe) Defendants' Motion to Dismiss Plaintiffs' Complaint Pursuant to NRS 86.548(2), NRCP 12(b)(2) and 12(b)(5) **Parties Present Minutes** Continued to 06/01/2017 - Stipulation and Order - 305 Las Vegas LLC; Aquarius Owner LLC; Casino Coolidge LLC; Las Vegas Land Partners LLC; Leah Property LLC; Liberman Holdings LLC; Liberman, Barnet; Live Work LLC; Live Work Manager LLC; Live 05/09/2017 Works TIC Successor LLC; LVLP Holdings LLC; Meyer Property Ltd; Mitchell Holdings LLC; Mitchell, Devid J; Nype, Russell L; Revenue Plus LLC; Wink One LLC; Zoe Property LLC Continued to 06/22/2017 - Stipulation and Order - 305 Las Vegas LLC; Aquarius Owner LLC; Casino Coolidge LLC; Las Vegas 06/01/2017 Land Partners LLC; Leah Property LLC; Liberman Holdings LLC; Liberman, Barnet; Live Work LLC; Live Work Manager LLC; Live Works TIC Successor LLC; LVLP Holdings LLC; Meyer Property Ltd; Mitchell Holdings LLC; Mitchell, David J; Nype, Russell L; Revenue Plus LLC; Wink One LLC; Zoe Property LLC 06/22/2017 Continued to 07/13/2017 - Stipulation and Order - Mitchell, David J; Nype, Russell L Result: Denied Without Prejudice 07/18/2017 **Business Court Order Business Court Order** 08/07/2017 **Order Denying Motion** Order Denying Defendants' Motion to Dismiss Plaintiffs' Complaint 08/09/2017 Notice of Entry of Order Notice of Entry of Order Denying Defendants' Motion to Dismiss Plaintiffs' Complaint 08/21/2017 **Amended Complaint** Amended Complaint **Notice of Compliance** 08/22/2017 Notice of Compliance 08/22/2017 Notice of Compliance Notice of Compliance Notice of Compliance 08/22/2017 Notice of compliance 08/28/2017 Mandatory Rule 16 Conference (10:30 AM) (Judicial Officer Hardy, Joe) **Parties Present Minutes** Result: Matter Heard 09/01/2017 **Business Court Order** Business Court Scheduling Order rand Order Setting Civil Bench Trial and Calendar Call **Answer to Amended Complaint** 09/05/2017 Answer to Amended Complaint 09/08/2017 **Answer to Amended Complaint** Answer to Plaintiff's Amended Complaint **Disclosure Statement** 09/14/2017 NRCP Rule 7.1 Disclosure Statement 10/24/2017 Joint Case Conference Report Joint Case Conference Report Disclosure of Documents and Witnesses Pursuant to NRCP 16.1 01/19/2018 Plaintiff's First Supplemental Disclosures Pursuant to NRCP 16.1 Supplemental 02/05/2018 Supplemental Verification to Plaintiff Revenue Plus, LLC's Responses to Defendants' First Set of Interrogatories 02/05/2018 Supplemental Supplemental Verification to Plaintiff Russell L. Nype's Responses to Defendants' First Set of Interrogatories 02/15/2018 **Notice of Deposition** Notice of Deposition of Kenneth S. Eisenberg, CPA, As The Custodian of Records At Kenneth S. Eisenberg, CPA 02/15/2018 Stipulation and Order to Extend Discovery Deadlines Stipulation and Order for Extension of Time to Complete Discovery (First Request) Notice of Entry of Stipulation and Order 02/15/2018 Notice of Entry of Stipulation and Order 02/20/2018 **Business Court Order** Amended Business Court Scheduling Order and Order Setting Civil Bench Trial and Calendar Call 02/21/2018 **Stipulated Protective Order** Stipulated Protective Order 02/21/2018 Notice of Entry of Stipulation and Order Notice of Entry of Stipulated Protective Order **Amended Notice of Taking Deposition** 03/06/2018 Amended Notice to Take Deposition of the Custodian of Records of Kenneth S. Eisenberg, CPA Amended Notice 03/07/2018 Second Amended Noticer to Take Deposition of the Custodian of Records of Kenneth S. Eisenberg, CPa 03/28/2018 **Notice of Deposition** Notice to Take Deposition of the PMK of Forest City Properties, LLC Pursuant to Subpoena Duces Tecum 03/28/2018 **Notice of Deposition** Notice to Take Deposition of the PMK of FC/LW Vegas, LLC Pursuant to Subpoena Duces Tecu **Notice of Deposition** Notice to Take Deposition of the PMK of PQ Ground Lesse, LLC Pursuant to Subpoena Duces Tecum 03/28/2018 **Notice of Deposition** Notice to Take Deposition of the PMK of PQ Las Vegas, LLC Pursuant to Subpoena Duces Tecum **Notice of Deposition** 03/28/2018 Notice to Take Deposition of the PMK of Forest City Commercial Management, LLC Pursuant to Subpoena Duces Tecum

03/28/2018 Notice of Deposition

```
Notice to Take Deposition of the PMK of Forest City Commercial Management, Inc. Pursuant to Subpoena Duces Tecum
03/28/2018 Notice of Deposition
              Notice to Take Deposition of the PMK of Forest City TRS, LLC Pursuant to Subpoena Duces Tecum
03/28/2018
           Notice of Deposition
              Notice to Take Deposition of the PMK of FC Vegas 20, LLC Pursuant to Subpoena Duces Tecum
03/28/2018
           Notice of Deposition
             Notice to Take Deposition of the PMK of Forest City Real Estate Services, LLC Pursuant to Subpoena Duces Tecum
           Notice of Deposition
03/28/2018
             Notice to Take Deposition of the PMK of QH Las Vegas, LLC Pursuant to Subpoena Duces Tecum
           Affidavit of Service
03/30/2018
              Affidavit of Service of PQ Las Vegas, LLC
03/30/2018
           Affidavit of Service
              Affidavit of Service of FC Las Vegas 20, LLC
03/30/2018
           Affidavit of Service
             Affidavit of Service of PQ Ground Lesse, LLC
03/30/2018
           Affidavit of Service
             Affidavit of Service of QH Las Vegas, LLC
03/30/2018
           Affidavit of Service
             Affidavit of Service of Forest City Commercial Management, Inc.
           Affidavit of Service
03/30/2018
              Affidavit of Service of Foret City Commercial Management, LLC
03/30/2018
           Affidavit of Service
             Affidavit of Service of FC/LW Vegas, LLC
03/30/2018
           Affidavit of Service
              Affidavit of Service of Forest City TRS, LLC
           Affidavit of Service
03/30/2018
              Affidavit of Service of Forest City Real Estate Service, LLC
03/30/2018
            Affidavit of Service
              Affidavit of Service of Forest City Properties, LLC
04/19/2018
           Motion to Compel
             Motion to Compel Complete Responses to Interrogatories and Requests for Production of Documents
04/26/2018
            Joinder
              Joinder of Barnet Liberman and 305 Las Vegas in the Mitchell Defendant's Motion to Compel Complete Responses to Interrogatories and
              Requests for Production of Documents
05/08/2018 Ex Parte Application
              Ex Parte Application for an Order to Show Cause Why Multiple Related Entities Should Not Be Held In Contempt and For Order Compelling Said
              Entities to Produce Docments Requested Responsive to Subpoena and For An Award of Attorneys' Fees and costs
05/09/2018 Stipulation and Order
              Stipulation and Order to Continue Hearing Re Motion to Compel Complete Responses to Interrogatories and Requests for Production of
              Documents
05/09/2018 Notice of Entry of Stipulation and Order
              Notice of Entry of Stipulation and Order to Continue Hearing Re Motion to Compel Complete Responses to Interrogatories and Requests for
              Production of Documents
05/11/2018 Opposition to Motion to Compel
              Opposition to Motion to Compel and Counter-Motion Requiring Disclosure of Undredacted Emails Between Defendants and Their Accountant
05/14/2018
           Order to Show Cause
              Order to Show Cause Why Multiple Related Entities Should Not Be Held In Contempt
05/16/2018
           Notice of Entry of Order
             Notice of Entry of Order to Show Cause Why Multiple Related Entities Should Not Be Held In Contempt
05/25/2018
           Affidavit of Service
              Affidavit of Service of Forest City Real Estate Services, LLC Re Order to Show Cause Why Multiple Related Entities Should Not Be Held In
              Contempt
05/25/2018 Affidavit of Service
             Affidavit of Service of Forest City Commercial Management, LLC Re Order to Show Cause Why Multiple Related Entities Should Not Be Held In
              Contempt
           Affidavit of Service
05/25/2018
              Affidavit of Service of FC Las Vegas 20, LLC Re Order to Show Cause Why Multiple Related Entities Should Not Be Held In Contempt
05/25/2018
           Affidavit of Service
              Affidavit of Service of FC/LW Vegas, LLC Re Order to Show Cause Why Multiple Related Entities Should Not Be Held In Contempt
05/25/2018
           Affidavit of Service
              Affidavit of Service of Forest City Commercial Management, Inc. Re Order to Show Cause Why Multiple Related Entities Should Not Be Held In
              Contempt
           Affidavit of Service
05/25/2018
             Affidavit of Service of QH Las Vegas, LLC Re Order to Show Cause Why Multiple Related Entities Should Not Be Held In Contempt
           Affidavit of Service
05/25/2018
              Affidavit of Service of Forest City Properties, LLC Re Order to Show Cause Why Multiple Related Entities Should Not Be Held In Contempt
05/25/2018
           Affidavit of Service
              Affidavit of Service of Forest City TRS, LLC Re Order to Show Cause Why Multiple Related Entitles Should Not Be Held In Contempt
05/25/2018
            Affidavit of Service
              Affidavit of Service of PQ Las Vegas, LLC Re Order to Show Cause Why Multiple Related Entities Should Not Be Held In Contempt
05/25/2018
            Affidavit of Service
             Affidavit of Service of PQ Ground Lessee, LLC Re Order to Show Cause Why Multiple Related Entities Should Not Be Held In Contempt
05/30/2018
           Reply to Opposition
             Mitchell Defendants' Reply to Opposition to Motion to Compel Complete Responses to Interrogatories and Requests for Production of Documents
05/30/2018
           Affidavit of Service
             Affidavit of Service of Forest City Commercial Management, LLC Re Order to Show Cause Why Multiple Related Entities Should Not Be Held In
              Contempt
05/30/2018 Joinder
              Joinder of Barnet Liberman and 305 Las Vegas, LLC in the Mitchell Defendants' Reply to Opposition to Motion to Compel Complete Responses to
             Interrogatories and Requests for Production of Documents
06/04/2018 Affidavit of Service
```

Affidavit of Service of PQ Las Vegas, LLC Re Ex Parte Application for An Order to Show Cause Why Multiple Related Entities Should Not Be Held In Contempt and for Order Compelling Said Entities to Produce Documents Requested Responsive to Subpoena And For An Award of Attorneys'

Fees and Costs

06/04/2018 Affidavit of Service

Affidavit of Service of QH Las Vegas, LLC Re Ex Parte Application for An Order to Show Cause Why Multiple Related Entities Should Not Be Held In Contempt and for Order Compelling Said Entities to Produce Documents Requested Responsive to Subpoena And For An Award of Attorneys'

06/04/2018 Affidavit of Service

Affidavit of Service of Forest City TRS, LLC Re Ex Parte Application for An Order to Show Cause Why Multiple Related Entities Should Not Be Held In Contempt And for Order Compelling Said Entities to Produce Documents Requested Responsive to Subpoena and for An Award of Attorneys' Fees and Costs

06/04/2018 Affidavit of Service

Affidavit of Service of Forest City Properties, LLC Re Ex Parte Application for An Order to Show Cause Why Multiple Related Entities Should Not Be Held In Contempt And for Order Compelling Said Entities to Produce Documents Requested Responsive to Subpoena and for An Award of Attorneys' Fees and Costs

06/04/2018 Affidavit of Service

Affidavit of Service of Forest City Commercial Management, LLC Re Ex Parte Application for An Order to Show Cause Why Multiple Related Entities Should Not Be Held In Contempt And for Order Compelling Said Entities to Produce Documents Requested Responsive to Subpoena and for An Award of Attorneys' Fees and Costs

06/04/2018 Affidavit of Service

Affidavit of Service of Forest City Real Estate Services, LLC Re Ex Parte Application for An Order to Show Cause Why Multiple Related Entities Should Not Be Held In Contempt And for Order Compelling Said Entities to Produce Documents Requested Responsive to Subpoena and for An Award of Attorneys' Fees and Costs

06/04/2018 Affidavit of Service

Affidavit of Service of FC Las Vegas 20, LLC Re Ex Parte Application for An Order to Show Cause Why Multiple Related Entities Should Not Be Held In Contempt and for Order Compelling Said Entities to Produce Documents Responsive to Subpoena and For An Award of Attorneys' Fees and Costs

06/04/2018 Affidavit of Service

Affidavit of Service of PQ Ground Lessee, LLC Re Ex Parte Application for An Order to Show Cause Why Multiple Related Entities Should Not Be Held In Contempt and For Order Compelling Said Entities to Produce Documents Requested Responsive to Subpoena and For An Award of Attorneys' Fees and Costs

06/04/2018 Affidavit of Service

Affidavit of Service of Forest City Commercial Management, Inc. Re Ex Parte Application for An Order to Show Cause Why Multiple Related Entities Should Not Be Held In Contempt and For Order Compelling Said Entities to Produce Documents Requested Responsive to Subpoena and For An Award of Attorneys' Fees and Costs

06/04/2018 Affidavit of Service

Affidavit of Service of FC/LW Vegas 20, LLC Re Ex Parte Application for An Order to Show Cause Why Multiple Related Entities Should Not Be Held In Contempt and For Order Compelling Said Entities to Produce Documents Requested Responsive to Subpoena and For An Award of Attorneys' Fees and Costs

06/05/2018 Stipulation and Order

Stipulation and Order to Continue Hearing Re Order to Show Cause Why Multiple Related Entities Should Not Be Held In Contempt and/or Sanctioned

06/05/2018 Notice of Entry of Stipulation and Order

Notice of Entry of Stipulation and Order to Continue Hearing Re Order to Show Cause Why Multiple Related Entities Should Not Be Held In Contempt and/or Sanctioned

06/05/2018 Supplement

Supplement to Plaintiffs' Opposition to Motion to Compel and Counter-Motion Requiring Disclosure of Unredacted Emails

06/06/2018 Motion to Compel (9:00 AM) (Judicial Officer Hardy, Joe)

Defendants' Motion to Compel Complete Responses to Interrogetories and Requests for Production of Documents

05/21/2018 Reset by Court to 06/06/2018

Result: Motion Granted

06/06/2018 Joinder (9:00 AM) (Judicial Officer Hardy, Joe)

Joinder of Barnet Liberman and 305 Las Vegas, LLC in the Mitchell Defendants' Motion to Compel Complete Responses to Interrogatories and Request for Production of Documents

05/21/2018 Reset by Court to 06/06/2018

Result: Granted

06/06/2018 Opposition and Countermotion (9:00 AM) (Judicial Officer Hardy, Joe)

Plaintiffs' Opposition to Motion to Compel and Counter-Motion Requiring Disclosure of Undredacted Emails Between Defendants and Their Accountant

Result: Denied Without Prejudice

06/06/2018 All Pending Motions (9:00 AM) (Judicial Officer Hardy, Joe)

Parties Present

Minutes

Result: Matter Heard

06/12/2018 Objection

Objection to Ex Parte Application for an Order to Show Cause Why Multiple Related Entities Should Not be Held in Contempt; Order Compelling Said Entities to Produce Documents Requested Responsive to Subpoena; and an Award of Attorneys' Fees and Costs

06/14/2018 Show Cause Hearing (9:00 AM) (Judicial Officer Hardy, Joe)

Show Cause Hearing: Why Multiple Related Entities Should Not be Held in Contempt

Parties Present

Minutes

06/06/2018 Reset by Court to 06/14/2018

Result: Matter Heard

06/18/2018 Order Granting Motion

Order Granting Defendants' Motion to Compel and Denying Plaintiffs' Countermotion Requiring Disclosure of Unredacted Emails Between Defendants and their Accountant

06/19/2018 Notice of Entry

NOTICE OF ENTRY OF ORDER

07/02/2018 Case Reassigned to Department 11

Reassigned From Judge Hardy - Dept 15

07/03/2018 Order

Order Denying Ex Parte Application for an Order to Show Cause why Multiple Related Entities Should Not be Held in Contempt; Order Compelling Said Entities to Produce Documents Requested Responsive to Subpoena; and an Award of Attorneys' Fees and Costs Without Prejudice and

```
Discharging Order to Show Cause
07/03/2018 Notice of Entry of Order
             Notice of Entry of Order Denying Ex Parte Application for an Order to Show Cause Why Multiple Related Entities Should Not Be Held in
              Contempt; Order Compelling Said Entities to Produce Documents Requested Responsive to Subpoena; and an Award of Attorneys' Fees and
              Costs Without Prejudice and Discharging Order to Show Cause
07/16/2018 CANCELED Status Check (9:30 AM) (Judicial Officer Hardy, Joe)
              Vacated - per Stipulation and Order
           Business Court Order
07/17/2018
             Amended Business Court Order
07/23/2018 Mandatory Rule 16 Conference (9:00 AM) (Judicial Officer Gonzalez, Elizabeth)
             Parties Present
             Minutes
               07/16/2018 Reset by Court to 07/16/2018
               07/16/2018 Reset by Court to 07/20/2018
               07/20/2018 Reset by Court to 07/23/2018
            Result: Trial Date Set
07/23/2018
           CANCELED Mandatory Rule 16 Conference (9:00 AM) (Judicial Officer Gonzalez, Elizabeth)
              Vacated - Duplicate Entry
               07/23/2018 Reset by Court to 07/23/2018
07/30/2018 Business Court Order
              2nd Amended Business Court Scheduling Order and Order Setting Civil Bench Trial and Calendar Call
            CANCELED Pre Trial Conference (8:30 AM) (Judicial Officer Hardy, Joe)
08/13/2018
             Vacated - per Stipulation and Order
           Disclosure of Documents and Witnesses Pursuant to NRCP 16.1
08/16/2018
             Supplemental Document Disclosures Regarding Plaintiffs' Initial 16.1 Disclosure and Production
08/28/2018
           Notice of Deposition
             Notice to Take Deposition of Barnet Liberman
            Notice of Deposition
08/28/2018
             Notice to Take Deposition of David Mitchell
            CANCELED Calendar Call (8:30 AM) (Judicial Officer Hardy, Joe)
08/29/2018
              Vacated - per Stipulation and Order
09/04/2018
            CANCELED Bench Trial (10:30 AM) (Judicial Officer Hardy, Joe)
              Vacated - per Stipulation and Order
09/05/2018
            Amended Notice of Taking Deposition
             Amended Notice to Take Deposition of David Mitchell
09/05/2018
            Amended Notice of Taking Deposition
             Amended Notice to Take Deposition of Barnet Liberman
09/19/2018
            Notice of Taking Deposition
             Notice of Taking Deposition of the Person Most Knowledgeable of PQ Las Vegas, LLC Pursuant to Subpoena Duces Tecum
09/19/2018
            Notice of Taking Deposition
             Notice of Taking Deposition of the Person Most Knowledgeable of FC Vegas 20, LLC Pursuant to Subpoena Duces Tecum
09/19/2018
            Notice of Taking Deposition
             Notice of Taking Deposition of the Person Most Knowledgeable of PQ Ground Lessee, LLC Pursuant to Subpoena Duces Tecum
09/19/2018
           Notice of Taking Deposition
             Notice to Take Deposition of the Person Most knowlegeable of Forest City Commercial Management Pursuant to Subpoena Duces Tecum
            Notice of Taking Deposition
09/19/2018
             Notice to Take Deposition of the Person Most Knowlegeable of FC/LW Vegas, LLC Pursuant to Subpoena Decues Tecum
09/19/2018
           Notice of Taking Deposition
             Notice to Take Deposition of the Person Most Knowledgeable of QH Las Vegas, LLC Pursuant to Subpoena Duces Tecum
09/20/2018
            Affidavit of Service
             Affidavit of Service - FC/LW Vegas, LLC
09/20/2018
           Affidavit of Service
             Affidavit of Service - PQ Las Vegas
09/20/2018
           Affidavit of Service
             Affidavit of Service - PQ Ground Lessee
09/20/2018
            Affidavit of Service
             Affidavit of Service -Forest City Comm
09/20/2018
           Affidavit of Service
             Affidavit of Service - QH Las Vegas
09/20/2018
           Affidavit of Service
             Affidavit of Service - FC Vegas 20
           Supplement
09/21/2018
             Supplemental Verification to Plaintiff Russell I. Nype's Supplemental Responses to Defendants' First Set of Interrogatories and to Request for
             Production of Docouments No. 44
09/21/2018 Supplement
             Supplemental Verification to Plaintiff Revunue Plus, LLC's Supplemental Responses to Defendants' First Set of Interrogatories and to Request for
             Production of Documents No. 44
11/07/2018 Telephonic Conference (10:00 AM) (Judicial Officer Gonzalez, Elizabeth)
             Telephonic Conference re: Stipulated Protective Order Re: Subpoenaed "Forest City Entities"
             Parties Present
             Minutes
            Result: Matter Heard
11/07/2018
           Substitution of Attorney
             Substitution of Attorney
11/15/2018
           Stipulation to Extend Discovery
             Stipulation and Order for Extension of Discovery and to Continue Trial (Second Request)
           Stipulated Protective Order
11/20/2018
             Stipulated Protective Order Regarding Subpoenaed Forest City Entities
11/20/2018
           Notice of Entry of Order
```

Notice of Entry of Stipulated Protective Order Regarding Subpoensed "Forest City Entities"

```
11/20/2018 Notice of Entry of Stipulation and Order
             Notice of Entry of Stipulation and Order for Extension of Discovery and to Continue Trial (Second Request)
           Order Setting Civil Bench Trial
11/27/2018
              3rd Amended Business Court Scheduling Order and Order Setting Civil Bench Trial and Calendar Call
           Stipulation and Order for Dismissal Without Prejudice
11/29/2018
              Stipulation and Order for Partial Dismissal Without Prejudice Against Liberman Holdings, LLC ONLY
           Notice of Entry of Stipulation and Order
11/29/2018
             Notice of Entry of Stipulation and Order for Partial Dismissal Without Prejudice Against Liberman Holdings, LLc
11/30/2018
           Notice of Entry of Stipulation and Order
             Notice of Entry of Stipulation and Order for Partial Dismissal Without Prejudice Against Liberman Holdings, ONLY
           CANCELED Status Check (9:30 AM) (Judicial Officer Hardy, Joe)
12/17/2018
              Vacated
01/04/2019
           Supplemental Disclosures
             Plaintiff's Third Supplemental NRCP 16.1 Disclosures
01/22/2019
           CANCELED Pre Trial Conference (8:30 AM) (Judicial Officer Hardy, Joe)
              Vacated
01/31/2019
           Certificate of Mailing
             Certificate of Mailing
01/31/2019
           Supplemental Disclosures
             Plaintiff's Fourth Supplemental NRCP 16.1 Disclosures
02/06/2019
           CANCELED Calendar Call (8:30 AM) (Judicial Officer Hardy, Joe)
              Vacated
02/11/2019
            CANCELED Bench Trial (10:30 AM) (Judicial Officer Hardy, Joe)
              Vacated
03/04/2019
           CANCELED Status Check: Trial Readiness (9:00 AM) (Judicial Officer Gonzalez, Elizabeth)
              Vacated - Superseding Order
           Motion to Withdraw As Counsel
03/11/2019
             Motion to Withdraw as Counsel
03/12/2019
           Clerk's Notice of Hearing
             Notice of Hearing
           Motion to Withdraw As Counsel
03/13/2019
             Motion to Withdraw as Counsel of Record for Defendants
           Clerk's Notice of Hearing
03/14/2019
             Notice of Hearing
03/18/2019
           Certificate of Service
             Certificate of Service
03/19/2019
           Opposition to Motion
03/25/2019
             Plaintiffs' Opposition to Defendants' Barnet Liberman, 305 Las Vegas, LLC and Casino Coolidge, LLC's Motion to Withdraw As Counsel of Record
03/27/2019
           Opposition to Motion
             Plaintiffs' Limited Opposition to the Mitchell Defendants' Motion to Withdraw As Counsel of Record
03/28/2019
           Reply to Opposition
             Reply to Plaintiffs Limited Opposition to the Mitchell Defendants Motion to Withdraw as Counsel of Record
04/03/2019
           Notice to Take Deposition
             Notice to Take Deposition of David Mitchell
04/03/2019
           Notice to Take Deposition
             Notice to Take Deposition of Barnet Liberman
04/03/2019
           Notice to Take Deposition
             Notice to Take Deposition of David Mitchell
04/04/2019
           Affidavit of Service
             Affidavit/Declaration of Service (Mark D. Rich, CPA)
04/05/2019
           Motion to Extend Discovery
             Plaintiffs' Motion to Enlarge Time to Complete Discovery on Order Shortening Time
04/08/2019
           Receipt of Copy
             Receipt of Copy of Plaintiffs' Motion to Enlarge Time to Complete Discovery on OST (Garry Hayes)
           Receipt of Copy
04/08/2019
             Receipt of Copy of Plaintffs' Motion to Enlarge Time to Complete Discovery on OST (Harry Marquis)
           Substitution of Attorney
04/08/2019
             Substitution of Counsel
           Substitution of Attorney
04/08/2019
             Substitution of Counsel
04/09/2019
           Notice
             Notice of Intent to Serve Subpoena
04/09/2019
           Notice of Deposition
             Notice of Depositio of the COR of The Bank of New York and/or The Bank of New YOrk Mellon Corporation dba BNY Mellon
04/09/2019
           Notice of Deposition
             Notice of Deposition of the Custodian of Records of Greystar RS SW, LLC
           Notice of Deposition
04/09/2019
             Notice of Deposition of the Custodian of Records of U.S. Bank National Association
04/10/2019
           Notice of Deposition
             Notice to Take Deposition of Michael L. Rosten, CPA/CFF, CFE, MAFF, CVA
           Notice of Deposition
04/10/2019
             Notice to Take Deposition of Scott W. Taylor, CPA
           Amended Notice of Taking Deposition
04/11/2019
             Amended Notice to Take Deposition of Michael L. Rosten, CPA.Cff, CRE, MAFF, CVA
04/11/2019
           Amended Notice of Taking Deposition
             Amended Notice to Take Deposition of Michael L. Rosten, CPA.CFF,CFE, MAFF, CVA
04/11/2019
           Notice of Intent
             Notice of Intent to Serve Subpoena
04/11/2019 Notice of Deposition
             Notice of Deposition of the Custodian of Records of First American Title Company
04/11/2019
           Notice of Deposition
             Notice of Deposition of the Custodian of Records of Chicago Title of Nevada, Inc
```

04/11/2019 Notice of Deposition

```
Notice of Deposition of the Custodian of Records of Nationalo Title Co.
04/11/2019 Notice of Deposition
              Notice of Deposition of the Custodian of Records of Greenberg Traurig, LLP
04/11/2019
            Opposition to Motion
              LIMITED OPPOSITION TO PLAINTIFFS MOTION TO ENLARGE TIME TO COMPLETE DISCOVERY (3rd Request) ON ORDER SHORTENING
              TIME
04/12/2019 Motion to Withdraw as Counsel (3:00 AM) (Judicial Officer Gonzalez, Elizabeth)
              Motion to Withdraw as Counsel of Record for Defendants
              Minutes
            Result: Granted
04/12/2019 Substitution of Attorney
              Substitution of Attorney
            Notice of Deposition
04/12/2019
              Notice of Taking the NRCP 30(b)(6) Deposition of Wink One, LLC
            Motion (9:00 AM) (Judicial Officer Gonzalez, Elizabeth)
04/15/2019
              Plaintiffs' Motion to Enlarge Time to Complete Discovery (3rd Request) on Order Shortening Time
              Parties Present
              Minutes
            Result: Granted
04/16/2019 Affidavit of Service
              Affidavit of Service of Scott W. Taylor, CPa
04/16/2019 Affidavit of Service
              Affidavit of Service of Michael L. Rosten, CPA/CFF, CFE, MAFF, CVA
            Order Granting Motion
              Order Granting Plaintiffs' Motion to Enlarge Time to Complete Discovery (Third Request)
04/18/2019
            Notice of Entry of Order
              Notice of Entry of Order Granting Plaintiffs' Motion to Enlarge Time to Complete Discovery (Third Request)
04/19/2019
            Affidavit of Service
              Affidavit of Service of Greystar RS SW, LLC
            Amended Notice of Taking Deposition
04/19/2019
              Amended Notice to Take Depositoin of David Mitchell
04/19/2019
            Affidavit of Service
              Affidavit of Service of The Bank of New York
04/19/2019
            Affidavit of Service
              Affidavit of Service of National Title Co
            Affidavit of Service
04/19/2019
              Affidavit of Service of First American Title Company
04/19/2019
            Affidavit of Service
              Affidavit of Service of U,S, Bank National Association
            Affidavit of Service
04/22/2019
              Affidavit of Service
04/22/2019
            Affidavit of Service
              Affidevit of Service
           Motion
04/22/2019
              Plaintiffs' Motion to Compel Defendants' Production of Documents on Order Shortening Time
            Order Granting
04/22/2019
              Order Granting Motion to Withdraw as Counsel of Record for Defendants
04/23/2019 Notice of Entry of Order
              Notice of Entry of Order Granting Motion to Withdraw as Counsel of Record for Defendants
04/23/2019
            Appendix
              Appendix Vol. I to Plaintiffs' Motion to Compel Defendants' Production of Documents on Order Shortening Time
04/23/2019
            Appendix
              Appendix Vol. II to Plaintiffs' Motion to Compel Defendants' Production of Documents On Order Shortening Time
            Amended Notice of Taking Deposition
04/29/2019
              Second Amended Notice to Take Deposition of Michael L. Rosten, CPA/CFF, CFE, MAFF, CVA
            Amended Notice of Taking Deposition
04/29/2019
              Second Amended Notice to Take Deposition of Scott W. Taylor, CPA
           Stipulation and Order
05/01/2019
              Stipulation and Order to Continue the Deposition of Barnet Liberman
05/02/2019
            Notice of Entry of Order
              Notice of Entry of Order to Continue the Deposition of Barnet Liberman
            Amended Notice of Taking Deposition
05/02/2019
              Amended Notice to Take Deposition of Barnet Liberman
05/06/2019
           CANCELED Pre Trial Conference (8:30 AM) (Judicial Officer Gonzalez, Elizabeth)
              Vacated - per Judge
               05/06/2019 Reset by Court to 05/06/2019
           Status Check (9:00 AM) (Judicial Officer Gonzalez, Elizabeth)
05/06/2019
             05/06/2019, 05/15/2019
               05/06/2019 Reset by Court to 05/06/2019
            Result: Matter Continued
05/06/2019
           Motion to Compel (9:00 AM) (Judicial Officer Gonzalez, Elizabeth)
             05/06/2019, 05/15/2019
              Plaintiffs' Motion to Compel Defendants' Production of Documents on Order Shortening Time
            Result: Matter Continued
           All Pending Motions (9:00 AM) (Judicial Officer Gonzalez, Elizabeth)
05/06/2019
             Parties Present
             Minutes
            Result: Matter Heard
```

05/09/2019

Notice of Appearance Notice of Appearance

05/09/2019 Amended Notice Amended Notice to Take Deposition of Michael L. Rosten, CPA/CFF, CFE, MAFF, CVA 05/09/2019 **Amended Notice** Third Amended Notice to Take Deposition of Scott W. Taylor, CPA **Motion to Extend Discovery** MOTION TO EXTEND DISCOVERY AND CONTINUE TRIAL (Fourth Request) 05/15/2019 All Pending Motions (10:30 AM) (Judicial Officer Gonzalez, Elizabeth) Parties Present **Minutes** Result: Matter Heard 05/15/2019 Motion to Extend Discovery (10:30 AM) (Judicial Officer Gonzalez, Elizabeth) Defendants Motion to Extend Discovery and Continue Trial (Fourth Request) 06/17/2019 Reset by Court to 05/15/2019 Result: Granted 05/15/2019 Clerk's Notice of Hearing Notice of Hearing 05/20/2019 **Order Setting Civil Bench Trial** 4th Amended Business Court Scheduling Order and Order Setting Civil Bench Trial and Calendar Call CANCELED Calendar Call (9:30 AM) (Judicial Officer Gonzalez, Elizabeth) 05/21/2019 Vacated - Superseding Order 05/22/2019 Reset by Court to 05/21/2019 05/22/2019 Reset by Court to 05/22/2019 05/23/2019 Transcript of Proceedings Transcript of Proceedings: Hearing on All Pending Motions CANCELED Bench Trial (1:30 PM) (Judicial Officer Gonzalez, Elizabeth) 05/28/2019 Vacated - Superseding Order 05/28/2019 Reset by Court to 05/28/2019 05/28/2019 Reset by Court to 05/28/2019 05/30/2019 Order Compelling Discovery, Awarding Sanctions, and Briefly Extending Discovery for Limited Purposes and Continuing the Trial Date 05/30/2019 Notice of Entry of Order Notice of Entry of Order Compelling Discovery, Awarding Sanctions, and Briefly Extending Discovery For Limited Purposes and Continuing the Trial Date Motion for Sanctions 06/14/2019 Plaintiffs' Motion for Sanctions Pursuant to NRCP 37(b) and Motion to Extend Time for Plaintiffs' Deadline For Supplemental Expert Report on OST 06/24/2019 Motion for Sanctions (9:00 AM) (Judicial Officer Gonzalez, Elizabeth) 06/24/2019, 06/27/2019, 07/09/2019, 09/03/2019 Plaintiffs' Motion for Sanctions Pursuant to NRCP 37(b) and Motion to Extend Time for Plaintiffs' Deadline for Supplemental Expert Report on Order Shortening Time **Parties Present Minutes** Result: Hearing Set 06/25/2019 Transcript of Proceedings RECORDER S TRANSCRIPT OF HEARING: PLAINTIFFS MOTION FOR SANCTIONS PURSUANT TO NRCP 37(b) AND MOTION TO EXTEND TIME FOR PLAINTIFFS DEADLINE FOR SUPPLEMENTAL EXPERT REPORT ON ORDER SHORTENING TIME June 24, 2019 06/27/2019 Evidentiary Hearing (9:00 AM) (Judicial Officer Gonzalez, Elizabeth) 06/27/2019, 07/09/2019, 09/03/2019 Result: Matter Continued 06/27/2019 Declaration Declaration of David J. Mitchell in Opposition to Motion to Compel and Sanctions 06/27/2019 All Pending Motions (9:00 AM) (Judicial Officer Gonzalez, Elizabeth) Parties Present **Minutes** Result: Matter Heard 07/02/2019 Supplement Supplement In Support of Monetary Sanctions and Request for Incremental Sanctions 07/08/2019 Status Check: Trial Readiness (9:00 AM) (Judicial Officer Gonzalez, Elizabeth) Parties Present Minutes Result: Matter Heard 07/08/2019 Receipt of Copy Receipt of Copy of Def's Second Supp Resp to P's 1st set of RFP 07/09/2019 All Pending Motions (9:30 AM) (Judicial Officer Gonzalez, Elizabeth) **Parties Present** Result: Matter Heard 07/09/2019 Telephonic Conference (1:30 PM) (Judicial Officer Gonzalez, Elizabeth) **Parties Present** Minutes Result: Matter Heard 07/30/2019 CANCELED Calendar Call (9:30 AM) (Judicial Officer Gonzalez, Elizabeth) Vacated - per Judge CANCELED Bench Trial (1:30 PM) (Judicial Officer Gonzalez, Elizabeth) 08/05/2019

Vacated - per Judge 08/19/2019 Transcript of Proceedings

1	
08/23/2019	Transcript of Proceedings: Evidentiary Hearing - Day 2 Tuesday, July 09, 2019 Transcript of Proceedings Transcript of Proceedings: Evidentiary Hearing - Day 1
08/23/2019	Motion for Summary Judgment
08/24/2019	Defendant's Motion for Summary Judgment Clerk's Notice of Hearing
08/28/2019	Notice of Hearing Notice of Bankruptcy Notice of Benkruptcy Filing
08/30/2019	
09/02/2019	Declaration
09/03/2019	Declaration of Ira Victor All Pending Motions (10:00 AM) (Judicial Officer Gonzalez, Elizabeth)
	Parties Present
	<u>Minutes</u>
09/10/2019	Result: Matter Heard Accounting
	Itemized Statement Accounting for Fees and Costs (8-22-2019 to 9-3-2019) Transcript of Proceedings
	Transcript of Proceedings: Evidentiary Hearing - Day 3 9/3/19
09/20/2019	Order Order RE: Discovery Sanctions
09/23/2019	Notice of Entry of Order Notice of Entry of Order Re: Discovery Sanctions
09/24/2019	Stipulation and Order
09/24/2019	Stipulation and Order to Continue the Hearing on Defendant 305 Las Vegas, LLC's Motion for Summary Judgment Notice of Entry of Stipulation and Order
09/24/2019	Notice of Entry of Stipulation and Order to Continue the Hearing on Defendant 305 Las Vegas, LLC's Motion for Summary Judgment Judgment
	Judgment
09/24/2019	Notice of Entry of Judgment Notice of Entry of Judgment
10/07/2019	Motion to Seal/Redact Records Motion to Maintain Redactions and Seal Certain Exhibits to Plaintiff's Opposition to Motion for Summary Judgment and Countermotion for Discovery Pursuant to NRCP 56(d)
10/07/2019	Receipt of Copy Receipt of Copy of Defendant's 3rd Supp Responses to RFP of Documents
10/07/2019	Opposition to Motion For Summary Judgment
10/07/2019	Plaintiffs' Opposition to Motion for Summary Judgment and CounterMotoin for Discovery Pursuant to NRCP 56(d) Appendix
10/07/2019	Appendix to Plaintiffs' Opposition to Motion for Summary Judgment and Countermotion for Discovery Pursuant to NRCP 56(d) Appendix
	Appendix to Plaintiffs' Opposition to Motion for Summary Judgment and Countermotion for Discovery Pursuant to NRCP 56(d)
	Clerk's Notice of Hearing Notice of Hearing
10/07/2019	Statement The Mitchell Defendants' Statement of Compliance and Motion for Additional Time for Further Production
10/08/2019	Calendar Call (9:30 AM) (Judicial Officer Gonzalez, Elizabeth)
	Parties Present Attacks
	Minutes Result: Matter Heard
10/08/2019	Filed Under Seal Appendix to Plaintiff's Opposition to Motion for Summary Judgment and Countermotion for Discovery Pursuant to NRCP 56(d)
10/14/2019	Transcript of Proceedings
10/21/2019	Transcript of Proceedings: Calendar Call Motion for Summary Judgment (9:00 AM) (Judicial Officer Gonzalez, Elizabeth)
	Defendant's Motion for Summary Judgment 09/24/2019 Reset by Court to 10/21/2019
11/08/2019	Motion to Seal/Redact Records (3:00 AM) (Judicial Officer Gonzalez, Elizabeth)
	Plaintiff's Motion to Maintain Redactions and Seal Certain Exhibits to Plaintiff's Opposition to Motion for Summary Judgment and Countermotion for Discovery Pursuant to NRCP 56(d)
12/30/2019	Bench Trial (9:30 AM) (Judicial Officer Gonzalez, Elizabeth)
	10/14/2019 Reset by Court to 12/30/2019
	Consequent Disposition of the Consequence of the Co

FINANCIAL INFORMATION

	Defendant 305 Las Vegas Total Financial Assessmer Total Payments and Credit Balance Due as of 10/14/	230.00 230.00 0.00		
03/02/2017	Transaction Assessment			30.00
03/02/2017	Efile Payment	Receipt # 2017-20642-CCCLK	305 Las Vegas LLC	(30.00)
08/23/2019	Transaction Assessment			200.00
08/23/2019	Efile Payment	Receipt # 2019-52077-CCCLK	305 Las Vegas LLC	(200.00)

1	Defendant Aquarius Owne			30.00
	Total Financial Assessmen Total Payments and Credit Balance Due as of 10/14/	s		30.00 30.00 0.00
03/02/2017 03/02/2017	Transaction Assessment Efile Payment	Receipt # 2017-20638-CCCLK	Aquarius Owner LLC	30.00 (30.00)
	Defendant Casino Coolidg Total Financial Assessmen Total Payments and Credit Balance Due as of 10/14/	it s		30.00 30.00 0.00
03/02/2017 03/02/2017	Transaction Assessment Efile Payment	Receipt # 2017-20644-CCCLK	Casino Coolidge LLC	30.00 (30.00)
	Defendant Las Vegas Lan Total Financial Assessmen Total Payments and Credit Balance Due as of 10/14/	t s		30.00 30.00 0.00
03/02/2017 03/02/2017	Transaction Assessment Efile Payment	Receipt # 2017-20631-CCCLK	Las Vegas Land Partners LLC	30.00 (30.00)
	Defendant Leah Property Total Financial Assessmen Total Payments and Credit Balance Due as of 10/14/	t s		30.00 30.00 0.00
03/02/2017 03/02/2017	Transaction Assessment Efile Payment	Receipt # 2017-20634-CCCLK	Leah Property LLC	30.00 (30.00)
	Defendant Liberman Hold Total Financial Assessmen Total Payments and Credit Balance Due as of 10/14/	s s		30.00 30.00 0.00
03/02/2017 03/02/2017	Transaction Assessment Efile Payment	Receipt # 2017-20641-CCCLK	Liberman Holdings LLC	30.00 (30.00)
	Defendant Liberman, Barr Total Financial Assessmen Total Payments and Credit Balance Due as of 10/14/	t s		30.00 30.00 0.00
03/02/2017 03/02/2017	Transaction Assessment Efile Payment	Receipt # 2017-20630-CCCLK	Liberman, Barnet	30.00 (30.00)
1	Defendant Live Work LLC Total Financial Assessmen Total Payments and Credit Balance Due as of 10/14/3	t s		30.00 30.00 0.00
03/02/2017 03/02/2017	Transaction Assessment Efile Payment	Receipt # 2017-20636-CCCLK	Live Work LLC	30.00 (30.00)
	Defendant Live Work Man Total Financial Assessmen Total Payments and Credit Balance Due as of 10/14/	t s		30.00 30.00 0.00
03/02/2017 03/02/2017	Transaction Assessment Efile Payment	Receipt # 2017-20637-CCCLK	Live Work Manager LLC	30.00 (30.00)
İ	Defendant Live Works TIC Total Financial Assessmen	t		30.00
ŀ	Total Payments and Credit	S		30.00

I	Balance Due as of 10/14/2019					
03/02/2017 03/02/2017	Transaction Assessment Efile Payment	Receipt # 2017-20643-CCCLK	Live Works TIC Successor LLC	30.00 (30.00)		
	Defendant LVLP Holdings Total Financial Assessmen Total Payments and Credit Balance Due as of 10/14/2	t s		30.00 30.00 0.00		
03/02/2017 03/02/2017	Transaction Assessment Efile Payment	Receipt # 2017-20639-CCCLK	LVLP Holdings LLC	30.00 (30.00)		
	Defendant Meyer Property Total Financial Assessmen Total Payments and Credit Balance Due as of 10/14/2	t s		30.00 30.00 0.00		
03/02/2017 03/02/2017	Transaction Assessment Efile Payment	Receipt # 2017-20632-CCCLK	Meyer Property Ltd	30.00 (30.00)		
Defendant Mitchell Holdings LLC Total Financial Assessment Total Payments and Credits Balance Due as of 10/14/2019						
03/02/2017 03/02/2017	Transaction Assessment Efile Payment	Receipt # 2017-20640-CCCLK	Mitchell Holdings LLC	30.00 (30.00)		
	Defendant Mitchell, David J Total Financial Assessment Total Payments and Credits Balance Due as of 10/14/2019					
03/02/2017 03/02/2017	Transaction Assessment Efile Payment	Receipt # 2017-20628-CCCLK	Mitchell, David J	450.00 (450.00)		
03/02/2017 03/02/2017 03/03/2017	Transaction Assessment Efile Payment Transaction Assessment	Receipt # 2017-20629-CCCLK	Mitchell, David J	223.00 (223.00) 1,260.00		
03/03/2017	Efile Payment	Receipt # 2017-20849-CCCLK	Mitchell, David J	(1,260.00)		
	Defendant Wink One LLC Total Financial Assessment Total Payments and Credits Balance Due as of 10/14/2019					
03/02/2017 03/02/2017	Transaction Assessment Efile Payment	Receipt # 2017-20635-CCCLK	Wink One LLC	30.00 (30.00)		
Defendant Zoe Property LLC Total Financial Assessment Total Payments and Credits Balance Due as of 10/14/2019						
03/02/2017 03/02/2017	Transaction Assessment Efile Payment	Receipt # 2017-20633-CCCLK	Zoe Property LLC	30.00 (30.00)		
	Plaintiff Nype, Russell L Total Financial Assessment Total Payments and Credits Balance Due as of 10/14/2019					
07/27/2016 07/27/2016 09/25/2019	Efile Payment	Receipt # 2016-71960-CCCLK	Nype, Russell L	270.00 (270.00) 18.00		
09/25/2019		Receipt # 2019-58642-CCCLK	John J Muije & Associates	(18.00)		

Case 19-15333-mkn Doc 28 Entered 10/24/19 15:50:28 Page 69 of 75

!	Total Financial Assessment Total Payments and Credits Balance Due as of 10/14/2	30.00 30.00 0.00		
07/27/2016 07/27/2016	Transaction Assessment Efile Payment	Receipt # 2016-71961-CCCLK	Revenue Plus LLC	30.00 (30.00)

LAWYER-CLIENT CONTINGENT FEE AGREEMENT

JOHN W. MUIJE & ASSOCIATES, a Nevada Professional Corporation

("Lawyer"), will provide legal services to SHELLEY D. KROHN, in her capacity as bankruptcy trustee of LAS VEGAS LAND PARTNERS, LLC, a debtor in

Bankruptcy Case No, 19-15333-mkn ("Client"), on the terms set forth below. This agreement is required to be in writing by Nevada Rules of Professional Conduct 1.5(c). This Agreement is subject to approval by the United States Bankruptcy Court for the District of Nevada in Case No. 19-15333-mkn (the "Bankruptcy Court")

- 1. CONDITIONS. This Agreement will not take effect and Lawyer will not have any obligation to provide legal services to Client until this Agreement is approved by the Bankruptcy Court.
- 2. SCOPE OF SERVICES. Under this Agreement, Client is hiring Lawyer to represent Client in the matter of Client's following claims against DAVID J. MITCHELL; BARNET LIBERMAN; LAS VEGAS LAND PARTNERS, LLC; MEYER PROPERTY, LTD.; ZOE PROPERTY, LLC; LEAH PROPERTY, LLC; WINK ONE, LLC; LIVE WORK, LLC; LIVE WORK MANAGER, LLC; AQUARIAS OWNER, LLC; LVLP HOLDINGS, LLC; MITCHELL HOLDINGS, LLC; LIEBERMAN HOLDINGS, LLC; 305 LAS VEGAS LLC; LIVE WORKS TIC SUCCESSOR, LLC; PC/LIVE WORK VEGAS, LLC; CASINO COLLIDGE, LLC (collectively, the "Defendants") in the Eighth Judicial District Court as Case No. A-16-740689-B (the "State Court Litigation").

Lawyer will represent Client until the amounts awarded in the State Court Litigation are collected including any appeal or in any proceedings designed to execute on the judgment in the State of Nevada without additional compensation.

Lawyer will continue to represent Russell Nype and Revenue Plus, LLC (collectively, "Nype") as co-plaintiffs in the State Court Litigation.

- **3. CLIENT.** Lawyer is representing the Client only with regard to the State Court Litigation and not in any other matter.
- 4. RESPONSIBILITIES OF THE PARTIES. Lawyer will provide those legal services reasonably required to represent Client in prosecuting the State Court Litigation described in paragraph 2. Client further agrees to cooperate fully with Lawyer in all matters related to the preparation and presentation of Client's claims. Client agrees to keep Lawyer informed of Client's representative, telephone number and email address. Client agrees to cooperate with Lawyer to obtain approval of this Agreement and the payment of fees and costs under this Agreement.

5. COSTS. Lawyer will incur various costs and expenses in performing legal services in the State Court Litigation (including such costs and expenses incurred both before and after the employment of Lawyer by Client). From the funds collected from the Defendants, Client agrees to pay for 50% of all costs and expenses in connection with the State Court Litigation. Costs and expenses commonly include court fees, service of process charges, court and deposition reporters' fees, photocopying and reproduction costs, notary fees, messenger and other delivery fees, postage, deposition costs, travel costs including parking, mileage, transportation, meals and hotel costs, investigation expenses, consultant, expert witness, professional mediator, arbitrator and/or special master fees and other similar items. Costs and expenses will be charged at Lawyer's cost.

Lawyer will continue to advance costs in the State Court Litigation which shall be reimbursed from the amounts collected from the Defendants. Client will have no obligation to pay costs except from the funds collected from the Defendants.

Lawyer understands that payment of such costs and expenses may be subject to prior approval by the Bankruptcy Court. If requested by the Client, Lawyer will appear before the Bankruptcy Court.

Client understands that RUSSELL NYPE and REVENUE PLUS, LLC have in the past and, in the future, may reimburse Lawyer for costs incurred in the State Court Litigation.

6. CONTINGENT LEGAL FEES. Lawyer will only be compensated for legal services rendered with regard to the State Court Litigation if a recovery is obtained for Client. If no recovery is obtained, Client will be not be obligated to pay any fees or costs in the State Court Litigation.

The fee to be paid will be a 33 1/3% of the "gross recovery" of the State Court Litigation by the Client. The fee will be calculated before the deduction of any costs and expenses. Upon receipt of any recovery by Client, Lawyer will provide Client with a written statement stating the outcome of the matter and the method by which the amount of fees was calculated.

Lawyer understands that payment of its fees may be subject to prior approval by the Bankruptcy Court. If requested by the Client, Lawyer will appear before the Bankruptcy Court.

Client is aware that RUSSELL NYPE and REVENUE PLUS, LLC has paid and, in the future, may pay Lawyer and other lawyers on an hourly fee basis for prosecuting the State Court Litigation. Upon receipt of the Contingent Legal Fee from the Client, Lawyer may reimburse RUSSELL NYPE and REVENUE PLUS, LLC for the hourly fees they have paid.

7. DISCHARGE AND WITHDRAWAL. Client may discharge Lawyer at any time. Lawyer may withdraw with Client's consent or for good cause. Good cause includes Client's breach of this agreement, refusal to cooperate or to follow Lawyer's advice on a material matter or any fact or circumstance that would render Lawyer's continuing representation unlawful or unethical. When Lawyer's services conclude, all unpaid charges will immediately become due and payable.

If the Client discharges Lawyer before funds are collected on the State Court Litigation, Client will (a) reimburse costs and expenses paid by Lawyer and (b) Lawyer will be entitled to reasonable fees in the event funds are later collected on a judgment issued the State Court Litigation.

Lawyer will maintain Client's file for 5 years after this matter is concluded. Client may request the file at any time during, upon conclusion of, or after conclusion of, this matter. Five (5) years after the conclusion of this matter, the file may be destroyed without further notice to Client.

- 8. DISCLAIMER OF GUARANTEE AND ESTIMATES. Nothing in this Agreement and nothing in Lawyer's statements to Client will be construed as a promise or guarantee about the outcome of this matter. Lawyer makes no such promises or guarantees. There can be no assurance that Client will recover any sum or sums in this matter. Lawyer's comments about the outcome of this matter are expressions of opinion only. Client acknowledges that Lawyer has made no promise or guarantees about the outcome.
- **9. NEGOTIABILITY OF FEES.** The rates set forth are not set by law, but were negotiable between a Lawyer and Client.
- 10. APPROVAL NECESSARY FOR SETTLEMENT. Lawyer will not make any settlement or compromise of any nature of any of Client's claims without Client's prior approval. Client has the absolute right to accept or reject any settlement. Client agrees to seriously consider any settlement offer Lawyer recommends before making a decision to accept or reject such offer. Client agrees not to make any settlement or compromise of any nature of any of Client's claims without prior notice to Lawyer.
- 11. LIMITATION OF REPRESENTATION. Lawyer represents Client only in the State Court Litigation described in paragraph 2 Scope of Services. Lawyer's representation does not include independent or related matters that arise. Lawyer's representation does not include representation of the Client in the Bankruptcy Court.

This agreement does not include defending Client against, or representing Client in any claims that may be asserted against Client such as a cross-claim or counter-claim in Client's case. This agreement does not apply to any other legal matters. If any such matters arise later, Lawyer and Client will either negotiate a separate Agreement if Client and Lawyer agree that Lawyer will perform such additional legal work or Client will engage separate counsel with respect to cross-claims or counter-claims or additional legal work.

Client may have other possible causes of action arising from the facts and circumstances giving rise to this representation. As Lawyer does not represent Client on these other possible claims, Client should seek independent representation if Client wishes to pursue a remedy. Delay or failure to do so may result in Client being barred by a statute of limitations from being able to recover under these other causes of action.

- 12. CONCLUSION OF SERVICES. After Lawyer's services conclude, upon request, Client's file will be delivered to Client.
- 13. LIEN. [omitted]
- 14. RECEIPT OF PROCEEDS. All proceeds of Client's case shall be deposited into Lawyer's trust account for disbursement in accordance an order of the Bankruptcy Court. No disbursement may be made until the settlement/or recovery check has cleared the bank.

Subject to Bankruptcy Court approval, 50% of any funds collected from the Defendants as a result of the State Court Litigation will be the property of RUSSEL NYPE and REVENUE PLUS, LLC and 50% will be the Property of Client except that the previously existing award for discovery sanctions shall be the sole property of RUSSEL NYPE and REVENUE PLUS, LLC.

- 15. ENTIRE AGREEMENT. This Agreement contains the entire agreement of the parties with regard to the State Court Litigation. No other agreement, statement or promise made on or before the effective date of this Agreement will be binding on the parties with regard to the State Court Litigation.
- 16. SEVERABILITY IN EVENT OF PARTIAL INVALIDITY. If any provision of this Agreement is held in whole or in part to be unenforceable for any reason, the remainder of that provision and of the entire Agreement will be severable and remain in effect.
- 17. MODIFICATION BY SUBSEQUENT AGREEMENT. This Agreement may be modified by subsequent agreement of the parties only by an instrument in writing signed by both of them or an oral agreement only to the extent that the parties carry it out.
- 18. ARBITRATION AND WAIVER OF JURY TRIAL. TO THE EXTENT PERMITTED BY THE BANKRUPTCY COURT, ANY DISPUTE BETWEEN US SHALL BE SUBJECT TO BINDING ARBITRATION.

IF THE ENTIRE DISPUTE CAN AND WILL BE HEARD BY THE FEE DISPUTE COMMITTEE OF THE STATE BAR OF NEVADA, THEN THAT SHALL BE THE FORUM FOR ARBITRATION. IF THE ENTIRE DISPUTE CANNOT OR WILL NOT BE HEARD BY THE FEE DISPUTE COMMITTEE OF THE STATE BAR OF NEVADA, THEN THE ARBITRATION SHALL BE HELD IN CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA BEFORE A RETIRED DISTRICT COURT JUDGE OR OTHER MUTUALLY ACCEPTABLE ARBITRATOR. JUDGMENT ON THE ARBITRATOR'S AWARD SHALL BE FINAL AND BINDING AND MAY BE ENTERED IN ANY

COMPETENT COURT. AS A PRACTICAL MATTER, BY AGREEING TO ARBITRATE, ALL PARTIES ARE WAIVING JURY TRIAL.

THIS AGREEMENT TO ARBITRATE ALL DISPUTES BETWEEN US APPLIES EVEN IF SOME PERSON OR ENTITY CLAIMS THAT THIS AGREEMENT IS VOID, VOIDABLE OR UNENFORCEABLE FOR ANY REASON.

19. CONFLICT OF INTEREST. It is understood by Client that the Lawyer is currently counsel for RUSSEL NYPE and REVENUE PLUS, LLC with regard to their claims against the Defendants. Lawyer will continue to represent RUSSEL NYPE and REVENUE PLUS, LLC in the State Court Litigation. Client waives any conflict that exists or arises due to Lawyer's past and future representation of RUSSEL NYPE and REVENUE PLUS, LLC.

Lawyer will not be representing Client in the bankruptcy case of LAS VEGAS LAND PARTNERS, LLC.

No part of the discovery sanctions previously awarded in the State Court Litigation to RUSSEL NYPE and REVENUE PLUS, LLC against the Defendants shall be paid to the Client.

WE ARE NOT ADVISING YOU WITH RESPECT TO THIS AGREEMENT BECAUSE WE WOULD HAVE A CONFLICT OF INTEREST IN DOING SO. IF YOU WISH LEGAL ADVICE REGARDING THIS AGREEMENT, YOU SHOULD CONSULT COUNSEL OF YOUR CHOICE.

THE PARTIES HAVE READ AND UNDERSTOOD THE FOREGOING TERMS AND AGREE TO THEM, AS OF THE DATE LAWYER FIRST PROVIDED SERVICES.

DATED: ______, 2019

Client: SHELLEY D. KROHN, in her capacity as bankruptcy trustee of LAS VEGAS LAND PARTNERS, LLC, a debtor in Bankruptcy Case No, 19-15333-mkn

Signature: _____
Print Name & Title: Shelley D. Krohn, Trustee

Lawyer: JOHN W. MUIJE & ASSOCIATES

Signature:

Print Name & Title: John W. Muije, President